



Research Paper

## Assessing Agricultural Stability in Rural Areas of Kiar Township, Iran

Ayda alahdini vandi\*<sup>1</sup>, Hosain Bahrami asl<sup>2</sup>, Siavash Molaii<sup>3</sup>,  
Mojtaba Abdolahi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MA Student Geography and rural planning, Sistan and Baluchestan University, Iran

<sup>2</sup>MA student Geography and rural planning, Zanjan University, Zanjan, Iran

<sup>3</sup> & <sup>4</sup>- M.A Geography and rural planning, Isfahan University, Iran

Received 13 May, 2014; Accepted 30 May, 2014 © The author(s) 2014. Published with open access at [www.questjournals.org](http://www.questjournals.org)

**ABSTRACT:-** Sustainability in an agricultural system depends on many factors. An awareness of these factors should be central to the development of any policy or strategy for sustainable agriculture. This study, an applied study in nature and a descriptive analytical study in terms of objectives, attempted to investigate the agricultural indicators in the three economic, social and environmental dimensions. The population comprises all the farmers in the town of Kiar, 245 of whom were selected as the sample using statistical procedures. The main research tool was a researcher-made questionnaire of which the validity and reliability were validated by expert judgment and pretest, respectively. The findings revealed that the conditions of agricultural sustainability in economic, social and environmental dimensions are 2.4, 2.5, and 2.4, respectively. The findings also demonstrated that the general condition of agricultural sustainability among the farmers of the town of Kiar with a mean of 2.4 is below the average level

**Keyword:-** agricultural sustainability, rural areas, Kiar Township.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Food production meets a primary human need and as such food security is one of the major challenges facing the world today (Essiet 2001). Imbalances between population growth and agricultural production present a serious challenge in most developing countries. A growing population puts pressure on a country's resources that can limit food supply, therefore global interest in research on the environment, food and nutrition is increasingly important (Burke et al. 2005). Although the increase of crops has helped provide the needs of the increasing population, today, it has been found that the modern technologies which utilize first-hand resources excessively lead to substantial erosion and destruction (Khazayi, 1997). In addition, destruction and making forest lands and grasslands into farms, soil erosion and water pollution caused by excessive utilization of chemicals, and the increase of farming sewage have grown into a substantial and serious size (Along and Martin, 1995: 36). In spite of that, not only do the current agricultural systems in many third world countries emphasize excessive use of external resource, but the uses of these resources has even increased in the recent years (Sharifi et al, 2011: 144).

Although farming plays a significant role in economy, there are anxieties as to its efficiency in reducing poverty in rural areas establishing food security and sustainable income for farmers and other villagers. These worries are caused by the fact that the farming environment and rural areas have faced unprecedented environmental problems especially in the last two decades (Tatlidil, 2009). In Iran, like in other developing countries, agriculture is one of the most important sections of economy which includes a considerably high proportion of the production and employment (Ommani et al., 2009). Excessive use of pesticides and fertilizers in Iran has led to great damage to soil and water resources, decrease in yield strength, environmental pollution, damage to the nature's environmental cycle, and health problems for people and animals (Sharghi, et al., 2010). These factors raise the significance of issues pertaining to sustainable development in Iran especially in agriculture. Therefore, considering worries and the existing issues, the conditions to move toward sustainable farming must be provided. Various definitions have been offered for sustainable farming but the most common

\*Corresponding Author: Ayda alahdini vandi

MA Student Geography and rural planning, Sistan and Baluchestan University, Iran

definition is that which includes all economic, social and ecological aspects. To put it otherwise, farming is sustainable when it is socially feasible and compatible, economically justifiable, politically suitable, environmentally compatible, and applicable from the point of view of management (Salmanzadeh, 1992: 31). Generally, there are three issues that are very important for sustainability of environment: first, sufficient income especially for low-income people; second, increase of accessibility of food and food consumption (by increasing production and improving marketing); and third, the protection and improvement of natural resources (Kouchaki et al. 2000: 28, Jamini et al., 448).

In this regard, the Kiar town is one of the areas enjoying desirable climatic and geographical conditions and agriculture, as the people's main source of income and employment opportunities has provided appropriate conditions for the development of the villages. Considering the great potentials of the area's agriculture, lack of systematic and infrastructure studies has impeded the appropriate use of the area's farming potentials. Therefore, this study attempts to explain and measure agricultural sustainability in the Kiar town.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is an applied research adopting analytical-survey data analysis. The research tool used in the study is a questionnaire whose content validity was verified by using the views of experts of agricultural extension, irrigation and farming in the office of the agricultural Jihad of Kiar town and reached final confirmation after applying the necessary corrections. The statistical population comprises the heads of the families living in the Kiar town who were as many as 2979. In order to gain a primary estimation of the agricultural beneficiaries, identify the reasonable amount of the sample, and investigate the questionnaire's reliability, 30 questionnaires were distributed and filled out as a pretest in two villages of the investigated area which were not part of the statistical sample. Afterwards, the Cochran formula ( $z= 1.96, p=.50, q= .50, d= .06$ ) was employed to identify the reasonable amount of the sample. Considering the size of the statistical population in the rural areas of the Kiar town, 245 family heads were selected as the sample for filling out the questionnaires. After determining the sample, for data collection, by considering the rural districts of Kiar town (5 rural districts) as statistic strata, random sampling was employed. At last, in order to follow the rules and techniques and to measure reliability in preparing the questionnaire, the Cronbach Alpha was used in SPSS program which measured the questionnaire's reliability at 0.794. Afterwards, considering the share of each stratum and by the appropriate geographical distribution of the questionnaires in each rural district, the data were collected through the use of questionnaires.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Investigation of sustainability of agricultural indicators in economic dimension

The results obtained from investigation of the sustainability of the variables of the economic dimension of agriculture of the town of Kiar (table 1) demonstrate that the two indicators of accessibility to the diverse fertilizers and agricultural machinery with respective coefficients of variation of 0.247 and 0.275 are in a better condition in comparison to the other indicators of economic dimension. In addition, the results show that the two variables of investment in agriculture and accessibility to loans and bank funds with respective coefficients of variation of 0.470 and 0.444 are in a weaker condition in comparison to the other variables.

**Table1. Investigation of sustainability of variables of the economic dimension of agriculture**

indicator	item	mean	Standard deviation	Coefficient of variation
economic	Accessibility to the market of agricultural products	2.7	1.17	0.433
	Accessibility to all warehouses, cold stores and store pits	2.8	1.09	0.389
	Investment in agricultural issues	2.1	0.989	0.470
	Accessibility to agricultural machinery	3.2	0.88	0.275
	Accessibility to all types of fertilizers	3.4	0.841	0.247
	Accessibility to loans and bank funds	2.5	1.11	0.444
	Accessibility to various seeds	3.2	0.961	0.300

**Investigation of sustainability of agricultural indicators in the social dimension**

According to the results displayed in table 5, one can conclude that among the investigated variables in social dimension, variables of the rate of social participation in village activities and the rate of educational and extension class participation with the respective coefficients of variation of 0.300 and 0.309 are in a better condition in comparison to the other variables. Furthermore, the two variables of satisfaction of job future and the rate of use of communication channels with respective coefficients of variation of 0.481 and 0.625 are in the weakest condition of sustainability in comparison to other variables in the social dimension.

**Table 2. Investigation of sustainability of variables of the social dimension of agriculture**

indicator	item	mean	Standard deviation	Coefficient of variation
social	Satisfaction from farming career	2.6	1.21	0.465
	Sense of being non-deprived	2.5	1.19	0.476
	Sense of place attachment	2.8	0.956	0.341
	Amount of social participation in the village activities	3	0.901	0.300
	Satisfaction from job future	2.7	1.3	0.481
	Degree of willingness to insure lands	2.9	1.09	0.375
	Degree of satisfaction form authorities	2.7	1.12	0.414
	Degree of participation in training and extension classes	2.9	0.897	0.309
	Degree of use of communication channels	2.7	1.69	.625

**Investigation of sustainability of agricultural indicators in the environmental dimension**

As illustrated by Table 3, among the variables of the environmental dimension, the two variables of the use of integrated pest management (with the coefficient of variation of 0.343) and use of agro forestry techniques (with the coefficient of variation of 0.354) are in a more acceptable condition in comparison to other variables. Moreover, the results show that the two variables of consumption of micronutrient fertilizers and refraining from the use of chemical pesticides with the respective coefficients of variation of 0.625 and 0.662 are in an inappropriate condition.

**Table 3. Investigation of sustainability of variables of the environmental dimension of agriculture**

indicator	item	mean	Standard deviation	Coefficient of variation
environmental	Plowing perpendicularly to the slope to avoid erosion in sloped farms	2.6	1.09	0.419
	Use of animal manure to reinforce soil	3.5	1.36	0.388
	Performing crop rotation	2.5	1.26	0.504
	Use of the mixed method of livestock and plants	2.7	1.12	0.414
	Scheduling planning and harvesting in order to eliminate pests	2.8	1.17	0.417
	Amount of use of fallow land	2.5	1.27	0.508
	Use of micronutrient	1.6	1	0.625

	<b>fertilizers</b>			
	<b>Use of integrated pest management</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>0.962</b>	<b>0.343</b>
	<b>proper use of fertilizers in the exact recommended amount</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>0.531</b>
	<b>Better qualitative and quantitative protection of soil</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>0.897</b>	<b>0.427</b>
	<b>Use of agroforestry method</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>0.354</b>
	<b>Refraining from the use of chemical fertilizers</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.15</b>	<b>0.479</b>
	<b>Producing green fertilizer to reinforce and increase the fertility of land</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>0.503</b>
	<b>Refraining from the use of chemical toxins</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.79</b>	<b>0.662</b>
	<b>Refraining from burning straw and the remaining stubble</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>0.437</b>

**Investigation of the sustainability of the three (economic, social and environmental) agricultural dimensions**

After investigating the variables of diverse dimensions of agricultural sustainability, the general condition of each of the three dimensions of agricultural sustainability as well as the condition of agricultural sustainability in the study area was investigated. The results show that among the three investigated dimensions of agricultural sustainability among the farmers of the town of Kiar, the environmental dimension with the coefficient of variation of 0.338 is in a better condition than other dimensions. Furthermore, the results show that agricultural sustainability among the farmers of the town of Kiar has not been sustainable in any of the three economic, social and environmental dimensions and is in an unsustainable condition with a general mean of 2.4.

**Table 4. Investigation of the condition of sustainability of farming among the farmers of the town of Kiar**

<b>coefficient</b>	<b>mean</b>	<b>Standard deviation</b>	<b>Coefficient of variation</b>
<b>economic</b>	2.4	0.841	0.350
<b>social</b>	2.5	0.928	0.371
<b>environmental</b>	2.4	0.829	0.338
<b>Total sustainability</b>	2.4	-	-

Agriculture as the principle source of food security plays a crucial role in expanding societies. So, the investigation of diverse sustainability indicators in the agriculture sector is an important step to improve this sector. In general, with respect to the investigation of sustainability of the indicators of the agriculture sector in the town Kiar, the findings showed that the three main dimensions of agricultural sustainability (economic, social and environmental) are lower than the average level. In addition, the results obtained from investigation of the general condition of agricultural sustainability in the town of Kiar showed that the farmers of this town, concerning the investigated indicators, with a mean of 2.4, are enduring an unfavorable condition. Therefore, it is necessary to pay attention to each of the main dimensions of agricultural development and their sub-indicators among the farmers of the town of Kiar by holding educational and extension classes, offering banking facilities to the farmers, providing access to new agricultural machinery, proper marketing for agriculture productions, etc. That is because by invigorating the agriculture sector in this town one can expect the development of rural areas and the subsequent development of the region in the future.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Along AJ, Martin RA (1995) Assessment of the adoption of sustainable agriculture practices: implications for agricultural education” *Journal of Agricultural Education*. 3(3): 34-42.
- [2]. Jamini D, Ghadermarzi H, Mafakheri A, Jamshidi A and Nikbakht S (2013) Investigation and assessment of factors that influence sustainable farming: A case study of rice farmers in Shirvan Cherdavel Town, Ilam province, Iran, *International Journal of AgriScience*, 6: 444-452.
- [3]. Khazayee A (1997) Investigating Government Policies on Decreasing the Degredation of Natural Resources. *Journal of agricultural economy and development*, 5, No. 20, pp. 123-148.
- [4]. Kouchaki E et al (2000) *Sustainable Agriculture*. 3rd Ed., Mashhad: Jahad Daneshgahi Publication.
- [5]. Ommani, A.R.; Chizari, M.; Salmanzadeh, C.; Farj Allah Hosseini, J. (2009). "Predicting adoption behavior of farmers regarding on-farm sustainable water resources management (SWRM): Comparison of models". *Journal of sustainable agriculture*. No. 33, pp. 595-616.
- [6]. Salmanzadeh S (1992) *Sustainable Farming, a Strategy in Agricultural Development, a Tratisse for Iran's Extension*. Collection of papers of the sixth scientific seminar of extension and training of agriculture. Tehran: Agricultural Extension Organization. pp. 29-50.
- [7]. Sharghi, T.; Sedighi, H. & Roknoddin Eftekhari, A. (2010). "Effective factors in achieving sustainable agriculture". *American journal of agricultural and biological sciences*. No. 5, pp. 235-241.
- [8]. Sharifi A et al. (2011) Investigation of the Factors Influencing the Sustainability of Greenhouse Cultivation System in Jiroft and Kahnouj Area. *Researches of Iran's economics and agricultural development*. 2-41, No. 1. Pp. 143-152.
- [9]. Tatlidil, F.F.; Boz, I. & Tatlidil, H. (2009). "Farmers' perception of sustainable agriculture and its determinants: A case study in Kahramanmaras province of Turkey". *Environ. Dev. Sustain*. No.11, pp.1091-1106.
- [10]. Burke F, Huda S, Hamza S, Azam M (2005) Disparities of agricultural productivity in Balochestan (A GIS perspective). *Pakistan Geographical Review* 27(1): 27-34.
- [11]. Essiet EU (2001) Agricultural sustainability under small-holder farming in Kano, northern Nigeria. *Journal of Arid Environments* 48: 1-7.