



Positive Solution to Singular Sixth–Order Differential System with Variable Parameters

B. Kovacs

Department of Analysis, University of Miskolc, 3515 Egyetemvaros, Hungary

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the existence of positive solution for the singular sixth-order differential system with sixth variable parameters

$$-u^{(6)} + A_1(t)u^{(4)} + B_1(t)u'' + C_1(t)u = \varphi u + f(t, u, \varphi), \quad 0 < t < 1$$

$$-\varphi^{(6)} + A_2(t)\varphi^{(4)} + B_2(t)\varphi'' + C_2(t)\varphi = \mu g(t, u, u'', u^{(4)}), \quad 0 < t < 1$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = u^{(4)}(0) = u^{(4)}(1) = 0,$$

$$\varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = \varphi''(0) = \varphi''(1) = \varphi^{(4)}(0) = \varphi^{(4)}(1) = 0,$$

where $\mu > 0$ is a constant, and the nonlinear terms f, g may be singular with respect to the time and space variables. Using a fixed point theorem in cones and an operator spectral theorem we give a new existence result for singular differential system. The existence of the positive solution depends on μ , i.e. there exists a positive number $\bar{\mu}$ such that if $0 < \mu < \bar{\mu}$, the boundary value problem has a positive solution.

Keywords: positive solution; fixed point theorem; singular solution; bending of an elastic beam; cone; boundary value problem; existence; operator spectral theorem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B18; 34B16; 34B27

Received 12 November, 2021; Revised: 25 November, 2021; Accepted 27 November, 2021 © The author(s) 2021. Published with open access at www.questjournals.org

I. INTRODUCTION

Boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations can be used to describe a large number of chemical, biological and physical phenomena. The existence of positive solutions for such problems has become an important area of investigation in recent years. It is well known that the bending of an elastic beam can be described with fourth-order boundary value problems. An elastic beam with its two ends simply supported, can be described by the fourth-order boundary value problem

$$u^{(4)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u''(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (1)$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Existence of solutions for problem (1) was established for example by Gupta [1,2], Liu [3], Ma [4], Ma et. al. [5], Ma and Wang [6], Aftabizadeh [7], Yang [8], Del Pino and Manasevich [9], RP Agarwal et.al. [10,11,12] (see also

the references therein). All of those results are based on the Leray-Schauder continuation method, topological degree and the method of lower and upper solutions.

Recently, Wang and An [13] studied the existence of positive solutions for a second-order differential system by using the fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression.

It is well known that the deformation of the equilibrium state, an elastic circular ring segment can be described by a boundary value problem for a sixth-order ordinary differential equation. However, there are only a handful of articles on this topic.

In this paper we shall discuss the existence of positive solutions for the sixth-order boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -u^{(6)} + A_1(t)u^{(4)} + B_1(t)u'' + C_1(t)u = \varphi u + f(t, u, \varphi), \quad 0 < t < 1 \\
 & -\varphi^{(6)} + A_2(t)\varphi^{(4)} + B_2(t)\varphi'' + C_2(t)\varphi = \mu g(t, u, u'', u^{(4)}), \quad 0 < t < 1 \\
 & u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = u^{(4)}(0) = u^{(4)}(1) = 0, \\
 & \varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = \varphi''(0) = \varphi''(1) = \varphi^{(4)}(0) = \varphi^{(4)}(1) = 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where μ is a positive parameter, $A_k(t), B_k(t), C_k(t) \in C[0, 1]$, ($k = 1, 2$), and $f(t, u, \varphi) : (0, 1) \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ and $g(t, u, v, w) : (0, 1) \times (0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, 0) \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is continuous. In fact as we will see below one could consider in Section 2 and 3 $f(t, u, \varphi) = f_1(t) f_2(t, u, \varphi)$ with $f_2(t, u, \varphi) : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ and $f_1 : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is continuous, provided

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(\tau, \tau) G_{2,1}(\tau, s) G_{3,1}(s, v) f_1(v) dv ds d\tau < +\infty;$$

here $G_{i,1}$, ($i = 1, 2, 3$) is as defined in Section 2. Moreover, our hypotheses allow but do not require $g(t, u, v, w) : [0, 1] \times (0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, 0) \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ to be singular at $u = 0$, $v = 0$ and at $w = 0$. The existence of the positive solution depends on μ , i.e. there exists a positive number $\bar{\mu}$ such that if $0 < \mu < \bar{\mu}$, the boundary value problem (3) has a positive solution. For this, we shall assume the following conditions throughout:

(H1) $a_k = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} A_k(t) > -\pi^2$, $b_k = \inf_{t \in [0,1]} B_k(t) > 0$, $c_k = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} C_k(t) < 0$, $\pi^6 + a_k \pi^4 - b_k \pi^2 + c_k > 0$, where $a_k, b_k, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_k = \lambda_{1,k} + \lambda_{2,k} + \lambda_{3,k} > -\pi^2$, $b_k = -\lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{2,k} - \lambda_{2,k} \lambda_{3,k} - \lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{3,k} > 0$, $c_k = \lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{2,k} \lambda_{3,k} < 0$ and $\lambda_{1,k} \geq 0 \geq \lambda_{2,k} > -\pi^2$, $0 \leq \lambda_{3,k} < -\lambda_{2,k}$, ($k = 1, 2$).

Assumption (H1) involves a three-parameter nonresonance condition.

2. Preliminaries

Let $Y = C[0, 1]$ and $Y_+ = \{u \in Y : u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}$. It is well known that Y is a Banach space equipped with the norm $\|u\|_0 = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)|$.

We denote the norm $\|u\|_2$ by

$$\|u\|_2 = \max \{ \|u\|_0, \|u''\|_0 \}.$$

It is easy to show that $Z = \{u \in C^2[0, 1] : u(0) = u(1) = 0\}$ is complete with the norm $\|u\|_2$ and $\|u\|_2 \leq \|u\|_0 + \|u''\|_0 \leq 2 \|u\|_2$.

Set $X = \{u \in C^4[0, 1] : u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0\}$. For given $\chi \geq 0$ and $\nu \geq 0$, we denote the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\chi, \nu}$ by

$$\|\cdot\|_{\chi, \nu} = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| u^{(4)}(t) \right| + \chi |u''(t)| + \nu |u(t)| \right\}, \quad u \in X.$$

We also need the space X equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_4 = \max \left\{ \|u\|_0, \|u''\|_0, \left\| u^{(4)} \right\|_0 \right\}.$$

In [11], it is shown that X is complete with the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\chi, \nu}$ and $\|u\|_4$, and moreover $\forall u \in X$, $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0 \leq \left\| u^{(4)} \right\|_0$.

We will investigate the existence of positive solutions for problem (3) by the following fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression of norm type:

Lemma 1 ([14]). Let E be a real Banach space and let $P \subset E$ be a cone in E . Assume Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subset of E with $\theta \in \Omega_1, \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$, and let $T : P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow P$ be a completely continuous operator such that either

(i) $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$; or

(ii) $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$.

Then T has a fixed point in $P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

Firstly, we will transform the problem (3) into a new form.

For $h \in Y$, consider the following linear boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -w^{(6)} + a_k w^{(4)} + b_k w'' + c_k w &= h(t), \quad 0 < t < 1 \\ w(0) = w(1) = w''(0) = w''(1) = w^{(4)}(0) = w^{(4)}(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where a_k, b_k, c_k satisfy the assumption

$$\pi^6 + a_k \pi^4 - b_k \pi^2 + c_k > 0 \tag{5}$$

and let $\Gamma_k = \pi^6 + a_k \pi^4 - b_k \pi^2 + c_k$. The inequality (5) follows immediately from the fact that $\Gamma_k = \pi^6 + a_k \pi^4 - b_k \pi^2 + c_k$ is the first eigenvalue of the problem $-w^{(6)} + a_k w^{(4)} + b_k w'' + c_k w = \lambda w, w(0) = w(1) = w''(0) = w''(1) = w^{(4)}(0) = w^{(4)}(1) = 0$ and $\phi_1(t) = \sin \pi t$ is the first eigenfunction, i.e. $\Gamma_k > 0$. Because the line $l_1 = \{(a, b, c) : \pi^6 + a_k \pi^4 - b_k \pi^2 + c_k = 0\}$ is the first eigenvalue line of the three-parameter boundary value problem $-w^{(6)} + a_k w^{(4)} + b_k w'' + c_k w = 0, w(0) = w(1) = w''(0) = w''(1) = w^{(4)}(0) = w^{(4)}(1) = 0$, if (a_k, b_k, c_k) lies in l_1 , then by the Fredholm alternative the existence of a solution of the boundary value problem (4) cannot be guaranteed.

Let $P(\lambda_k) = \lambda_k^2 + \beta_k \lambda_k - \alpha_k$ where $\beta_k < 2\pi^2, \alpha_k \geq 0, (k = 1, 2)$. It is easy to see that equation $P(\lambda_k) = 0$ has two real roots $\lambda_{1,k}, \lambda_{2,k} = \frac{-\beta_k \pm \sqrt{\beta_k^2 + 4\alpha_k}}{2}$, with $\lambda_{1,k} \geq 0 \geq \lambda_{2,k} > -\pi^2$. Let $\lambda_{3,k}$ be a number such that $0 \leq \lambda_{3,k} < -\lambda_{2,k}$. In this case, (4) satisfies the following decomposition form:

$$-w^{(6)} + a_k w^{(4)} + b_k w'' + c_k w = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{1,k}\right) \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{2,k}\right) \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{3,k}\right) w, \quad 0 < t < 1. \tag{6}$$

It is obvious that $a_k = \lambda_{1,k} + \lambda_{2,k} + \lambda_{3,k} > -\pi^2, b_k = -\lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{2,k} - \lambda_{2,k} \lambda_{3,k} - \lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{3,k} > 0, c = \lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{2,k} \lambda_{3,k} < 0$.

Suppose that $G_{i,k}(t, s), (i = 1, 2, 3), (k = 1, 2)$ is the Green function associated with

$$-w'' + \lambda_{i,k} w = 0, \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0. \tag{7}$$

We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2 ([14]). Let $\omega_{i,k} = \sqrt{|\lambda_{i,k}|}$, then $G_{i,k}(t, s) (i = 1, 2, 3)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i) when } \lambda_{i,k} > 0, G_{i,k}(t, s) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\sinh \omega_{i,k} t \sinh \omega_{i,k} (1-s)}{\omega_{i,k} \sinh \omega_{i,k}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \\ \frac{\sinh \omega_{i,k} s \sinh \omega_{i,k} (1-t)}{\omega_{i,k} \sinh \omega_{i,k}}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \end{array} \right\} \\ \text{(ii) when } \lambda_{i,k} = 0, G_{i,k}(t, s) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} t(1-s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \\ s(1-t), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \end{array} \right\} \\ \text{(iii) when } -\pi^2 < \lambda_{i,k} < 0, G_{i,k}(t, s) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\sin \omega_{i,k} t \sin \omega_{i,k} (1-s)}{\omega_{i,k} \sin \omega_{i,k}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \\ \frac{\sin \omega_{i,k} s \sin \omega_{i,k} (1-t)}{\omega_{i,k} \sin \omega_{i,k}}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 ([14]). $G_{i,k}(t, s) (i = 1, 2, 3)$ has the following properties:

(i) $G_{i,k}(t, s) > 0, \forall t, s \in (0, 1)$;

- (ii) $G_{i,k}(t, s) \leq C_{i,k}G_{i,k}(s, s), \quad \forall t, s \in [0, 1];$
- (iii) $G_{i,k}(t, s) \geq \delta_{i,k}G_{i,k}(t, t)G_{i,k}(s, s), \quad \forall t, s \in [0, 1];$
- (iv) $|G_{i,k}(t_1, s) - G_{i,k}(t_2, s)| \leq \tilde{l}_{i,k}|t_1 - t_2|, \quad \forall t_1, t_2, s \in [0, 1];$

where $C_{i,k} = 1, \delta_{i,k} = \frac{\omega_{i,k}}{\sinh \omega_{i,k}},$ if $\lambda_{i,k} > 0; C_{i,k} = 1, \delta_{i,k} = 1,$ if $\lambda_{i,k} = 0; C_{i,k} = \frac{1}{\sin \omega_{i,k}}, \delta_{i,k} = \omega_{i,k} \sin \omega_{i,k},$ if $-\pi^2 < \lambda_{i,k} < 0,$ and $\tilde{l}_{i,k}$ is a positive constant.

In what follows, we shall let

$$D_{i,k} = \int_0^1 G_{i,k}(s, s)ds, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad (k = 1, 2). \tag{8}$$

Now, since from the second equation of (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi^{(6)} + a_2\varphi^{(4)} + b_2\varphi'' + c_2\varphi &= \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{1,2}\right)\left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{2,2}\right)\left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{3,2}\right)\varphi \\ &= \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{2,2}\right)\left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{1,2}\right)\left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{3,2}\right)\varphi = h(t), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $h(t) = \mu g(t, u(t), u''(t), u^{(4)}(t)).$

The solution of boundary value problem (9) can be expressed by

$$\varphi(t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,2}(t, v)G_{2,2}(v, s)G_{3,2}(s, \tau)h(\tau)d\tau dsdv, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{10}$$

Thus, for every given $h \in Y,$ the boundary value problem (9) has a unique solution $\varphi \in C^6[0, 1]$ which is given by (10).

We now define a mapping $Q_2 : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ by

$$(Q_2h)(t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,2}(t, v)G_{2,2}(v, s)G_{3,2}(s, \tau)h(\tau)d\tau dsdv, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{11}$$

Similarly, from the first equation of (3), we obtain

$$-u^{(6)} + a_1u^{(4)} + b_1u'' + c_1u = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{1,1}\right)\left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{2,1}\right)\left(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \lambda_{3,1}\right)u = h_1(t) \tag{12}$$

where $h_1(t) = \varphi(t)u(t) + f(t, u(t), \varphi(t)),$ and the solution of boundary value problem (12) can be expressed by

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v)G_{2,1}(v, s)G_{3,1}(s, \tau)h_1(\tau)d\tau dsdv, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{13}$$

Similarly, we define a mapping $Q_1 : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ by

$$(Q_1h)(t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v)G_{2,1}(v, s)G_{3,1}(s, \tau)h(\tau)d\tau dsdv, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{14}$$

It is useful to introduce the following notations:

$$(Q_{i,j}h)(t) = \int_0^1 G_{i,j}(t, s)h(s)ds, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad (j = 1, 2), \tag{15}$$

and

$$\widehat{Q}_k h = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 C_{1,k}G_{1,k}(v, v)G_{2,k}(v, s)G_{3,k}(s, \tau)h(\tau)d\tau dsdv, \quad (k = 1, 2). \tag{16}$$

It is easy to see that

$$(Q_jh)(t) = Q_{1,j}Q_{2,j}Q_{3,j}h(t), \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

and

$$(Q_j h)(t) \leq \widehat{Q}_j h, \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Lemma 4. $Q_k : Y \rightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|_{\chi_k, \nu_k})$ is linear and completely continuous where $\chi_k = \lambda_{1,k} + \lambda_{3,k}$, $\nu_k = \lambda_{1,k}\lambda_{3,k}$ and $\|Q_k\| \leq D_{2,k}$, where $D_{2,k}$ is defined by (8). Moreover, $(1 + \chi_k + \nu_k)^{-1} \|\cdot\|_{\chi_k, \nu_k} \leq \|\cdot\|_4 \leq \|\cdot\|_{\chi_k, \nu_k}$.

Proof. The proof of completely continuous is similar to the proof of Lemma 6 in [15], so we omit it. Next we will show that $\|Q_k\| \leq D_{2,k}$. Assume that $h \in Y$ and $w = Q_k h$ is the solution the boundary value problem (4). It is clear that the operator Q_k maps Y into X . Now for all $\forall h \in Y, w = Q_k h \in X, w(0) = w(1) = w''(0) = w''(1) = w^{(4)}(0) = w^{(4)}(1) = 0$. Using (9) it is easy to see that

$$-w'' + \lambda_{i,k} w = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{j,k}(t, v) G_{m,k}(v, \tau) h(\tau) d\tau dv, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (17)$$

and

$$w^{(4)} - (\lambda_{i,k} + \lambda_{j,k}) w'' + \lambda_{i,k} \lambda_{j,k} w = \int_0^1 G_{m,k}(t, v) h(v) dv, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (18)$$

where $i, j, m = 1, 2, 3$ and $i \neq j \neq m$.

We will now show $\|Q_k h\|_{\chi_k, \nu_k} \leq D_{2,k} \|h\|_0, \forall h \in Y$, where $\chi_k = \lambda_{1,k} + \lambda_{3,k} \geq 0, \nu_k = \lambda_{1,k}\lambda_{3,k} \geq 0$. For this, $\forall h \in Y_+$, let $w = Q_k h$, and by Lemma 3, $w \in X \cap Y_+$. The equality (17) with the assumption $\lambda_{2,k} \leq 0$ implies that $w'' \leq 0$. Similarly, the equality (18) with the assumptions $\lambda_{2,k} + \lambda_{3,k} < 0$ and $\lambda_{2,k}\lambda_{3,k} \leq 0$ implies that $w^{(4)} \geq 0$.

From (18) with $\chi_k = \lambda_{1,k} + \lambda_{3,k} \geq 0, \nu_k = \lambda_{1,k}\lambda_{3,k} \geq 0$ and $w \geq 0, w'' \leq 0, w^{(4)} \geq 0$ we immediately have

$$\left| w^{(4)}(t) \right| + \chi_k |w''(t)| + \nu_k |w(t)| = w^{(4)} - (\lambda_{1,k} + \lambda_{3,k}) w'' + \lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{3,k} w = \int_0^1 G_{2,k}(t, v) h(v) dv, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (19)$$

For any $h \in Y$, let $h = \bar{h}_1 - \bar{h}_2, w_1 = Q_k \bar{h}_1, w_2 = Q_k \bar{h}_2$, where h_1, h_2 are the positive part and negative part of h , respectively. Let $w = Q_k h$, then $w = w_1 - w_2$. From the above, we have $w_i \geq 0, w_i'' \leq 0, w_i^{(4)} \geq 0, i = 1, 2$, and the following equality holds:

$$\left| w_i^{(4)}(t) \right| + (\lambda_{1,k} + \lambda_{3,k}) |w_i''(t)| + \lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{3,k} |w_i(t)| = \int_0^1 G_{2,k}(t, v) \bar{h}_i(v) dv = Q_{2,k} \bar{h}_i, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (20)$$

So, from (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| w^{(4)}(t) \right| + (\lambda_{1,k} + \lambda_{3,k}) |w''(t)| + \lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{3,k} |w(t)| = \left| w_1^{(4)}(t) - w_2^{(4)}(t) \right| \\ & + (\lambda_{1,k} + \lambda_{3,k}) |w_1''(t) - w_2''(t)| + \lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{3,k} |w_1(t) - w_2(t)| \\ & \leq \left(\left| w_1^{(4)}(t) \right| + (\lambda_{1,k} + \lambda_{3,k}) |w_1''(t)| + \lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{3,k} |w_1(t)| \right) \\ & + \left(\left| w_2^{(4)}(t) \right| + (\lambda_{1,k} + \lambda_{3,k}) |w_2''(t)| + \lambda_{1,k} \lambda_{3,k} |w_2(t)| \right) \\ & = Q_{2,k} \bar{h}_1 + Q_{2,k} \bar{h}_2 = Q_{2,k} |h| \leq D_{2,k} \|h\|_0 = D_{2,k} \|h\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|Q_k h\|_{\chi_k, \nu_k} \leq D_{2,k} \|h\|_0$, and hence $\|Q_k\| \leq D_{2,k}$. ■

We consider the existence of a positive solution of the second equation of (3) (the function $\varphi \in C^6(0, 1) \cap C^4[0, 1]$ is a positive solution of the second equation of (3), if $\varphi(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]$, and $\varphi \neq 0$). It is easy to see that the second equation of (3) is equivalent to the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi^{(6)} + a_2 \varphi^{(4)} + b_2 \varphi'' + c_2 \varphi &= -(A_2(t) - a_2) \varphi^{(4)} - (B_2(t) - b_2) \varphi'' - (C_2(t) - c_2) \varphi \\ &+ \mu g(t, u, u'', u^{(4)}). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

For any $\varphi \in X$, let

$$(G_2 \varphi)(t) = -(A_2(t) - a_2) \varphi^{(4)} - (B_2(t) - b_2) \varphi'' - (C_2(t) - c_2) \varphi.$$

The operator $G_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ is linear. By Lemma 4 and Corollary 9, $\forall \varphi \in X, t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(G_2\varphi)(t)| &\leq [-A_2(t) + B_2(t) - C_2(t) - (-a_2 - b_2 - c_2)] \|\varphi\|_4 \\ &\leq K_2 \|\varphi\|_4 \leq K_2 \|\varphi\|_{\chi_2, \nu_2} \end{aligned}$$

where $K_2 = \max_{t \in [0,1]} [-A_2(t) + B_2(t) - C_2(t) - (-a_2 + b_2 - c_2)]$, $\chi_2 = \lambda_{2,2} + \lambda_{3,2} \geq 0$, $\nu_2 = \lambda_{2,2}\lambda_{3,2} \geq 0$. Hence $\|G_2\varphi\|_0 \leq K_2 \|\varphi\|_{\chi_2, \nu_2}$, and so $\|G_2\| \leq K_2$. Also $\varphi \in C^4[0,1] \cap C^6(0,1)$ is a solution of (21) iff $\varphi \in X$ satisfies $\varphi = Q_2(G_2\varphi + h)$, where $h(t) = \mu g(t, u, u'', u^{(4)})(t)$ i.e.

$$\varphi \in X, \quad (I - Q_2G_2)\varphi = Q_2h. \quad (22)$$

The operator $I - Q_2G_2$ maps X into X . From $\|Q_2\| \leq D_{2,2}$ together with $\|G_2\| \leq K_2$ and condition $D_{2,2}K_2 < 1$, and applying the operator spectra theorem, we find that $(I - Q_2G_2)^{-1}$ exists and bounded. Let $L_2 = D_{2,2}K_2$.

Let $H_2 = (I - Q_2G_2)^{-1}Q_2$. Then (22) is equivalent to $\varphi = H_2h$. By the Neumann expansion formula, H_2 can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= (I + Q_2G_2 + \dots + (Q_2G_2)^n + \dots) Q_2 = \\ &= Q_2 + (Q_2G_2)Q_2 + \dots + (Q_2G_2)^n Q_2 + \dots = Q_2(I + (G_2Q_2) + \dots + (G_2Q_2)^n + \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The complete continuity of Q_2 with the continuity of $(I - Q_2G_2)^{-1}$ guarantees that the operator $H_2 : Y \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous.

Now $\forall h \in Y_+$, let $\varphi = H_2h$, then $\varphi \in X \cap Y_+$, and it is easy to see that $\varphi''(t) \leq 0$, $\varphi^{(4)}(t) \geq 0$, $t \in [0,1]$. Indeed, using by Lemma 4, and from (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi''(t) &= Q_2''(I + (G_2Q_2) + \dots + (G_2Q_2)^n + \dots)h(t) = \\ &= (\lambda_{2,2}Q_2 - Q_{1,1}Q_{3,1})(I + (G_2Q_2) + \dots + (G_2Q_2)^n + \dots)h(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0,1], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi^{(4)}(t) = (\lambda_{2,1} + \lambda_{3,1})Q_2'' - \lambda_{2,1}\lambda_{3,1}Q_{1,1} + Q_{1,1})(I + (G_2Q_2) + \dots + (G_2Q_2)^n + \dots)h(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Thus, we have

$$(G_2\varphi)(t) = -(A_2(t) - a_2)\varphi^{(4)} - (B_2(t) - b_2)\varphi'' - (C_2(t) - c_2)\varphi \geq 0, \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Hence

$$\forall h \in Y_+, \quad (G_2Q_2h)(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0,1] \quad (24)$$

and so $(Q_2G_2)(Q_2h)(t) = Q_2(G_2Q_2h)(t) \geq 0$, $t \in [0,1]$.

It is easy to see [15] that the following inequalities hold: $\forall h \in Y_+$,

$$\frac{1}{1 - L_2}(Q_2h)(t) \geq (H_2h)(t) \geq (Q_2h)(t), \quad t \in [0,1], \quad (25)$$

moreover,

$$\|(H_2h)\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{1 - L_2} \|(Q_2h)\|_0. \quad (26)$$

For any $u \in X_+$, it is easy to see that $\varphi \in C^4[0,1] \cap C^6(0,1)$ being a positive solution of the second equation of (3) is equivalent to $\varphi \in Y_+$ being a nonzero solution of

$$\varphi(t) = \mu H_2g(s, u(s), u''(s), u^{(4)}(s))(t). \quad (27)$$

Obviously, $H_2 : Y_+ \rightarrow Y_+$ is completely continuous.

Thus inserting (27) into the first equation of (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -u^{(6)} + A_1(t)u^{(4)} + B_1(t)u'' + C_1(t)u &= \\ = \mu u(t)H_2g(s, u(s), u''(s), u^{(4)}(s))(t) + f(t, u(t), \mu H_2g(s, u(s), u''(s), u^{(4)}(s))(t)), \\ u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = u^{(4)}(0) = u^{(4)}(1) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Now we consider the existence of a positive solution of (28). The function $u \in C^6(0,1) \cap C^4[0,1]$ is a positive solution of (28), if $u(t) \geq 0$, $t \in [0,1]$, and $u \neq 0$.

Then, similarly as the solution of (21), the solution of (28) can be expressed as

$$u(t) = H_1(u(s)\mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) + f(s, u(s), \mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(s))(s)). \quad (29)$$

Similarly, as (23) and (25), we obtain

$$(H_1h)(t) = Q_1(I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n + \dots)h(t), \quad (30)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{1-L_1}(Q_1h)(t) \geq (H_1h)(t) \geq (Q_1h)(t), \quad t \in [0, 1] \quad (31)$$

where $(G_1u)(t) = -(A_1(t) - a_1)u^{(4)}(t) - (B_1(t) - b_1)u''(t) - (C_1(t) - c_1)u(t) \geq 0$, $L_1 = D_{2,1}K_1$, and $K_1 = \max_{t \in [0,1]} [-A_1(t) + B_1(t) - C_1(t) - (-a_1 + b_1 - c_1)]$

We recall that $X = \{u \in C^4[0, 1] : u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0\}$ is complete with the norm $\|u\|_4 = \max\{\|u\|_0, \|u''\|_0, \|u^{(4)}\|_0\}$ and using Lemma 8 and Corollary 9, we have $\|u\|_4 = \|u^{(4)}\|_0$. Throughout this paper, we use the Banach space $(X, \|u^{(4)}\|_0)$ to solve the problem (28).

Set

$$P = \{u \in X, u(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t)\|u\|_0, -u''(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t)\|u''\|_0,$$

$$u^{(4)}(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t)\|u^{(4)}\|_0, t \in [0, 1]\}$$

where $\widehat{k} = \frac{(1-L_1)\delta_{1,1}}{C_{1,1}}$.

Note, P is a cone in X . For $R > 0$, write $B_R = \{u \in C^4[0, 1] : \|u\|_4 < R\}$.

It is easy to see that if $u \in P$ then

$$u^{(4)}(t) \geq \sigma \|u^{(4)}\|_0 \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right], \quad (32)$$

where $\sigma = \widehat{k} \min_{t \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]} G_{1,1}(t, t)$.

We now define a mapping $T : P \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ by

$$Tu(t) = H_1(u(s)\mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) + f(s, u(s), \mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s)). \quad (33)$$

Let us introduce the notation:

$$q(\tau) = \mu u(\tau)H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(\tau) + f(\tau, u(\tau), \mu H_2g(t, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)),$$

and rewrite (33) in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) &= H_1(q(\tau)) = \\ &= Q_1(I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau)). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Using by (34), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)''(t) &= Q_1''(I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau)) = \\ &= (\lambda_{2,1}Q_1 - Q_{1,1}Q_{3,1})(I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau)) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1], \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)^{(4)}(t) &= Q_1^{(4)}(I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau)) = \\ &= ((\lambda_{2,1} + \lambda_{3,1})(\lambda_{2,1}Q_1 - Q_{1,1}Q_{3,1}) - \lambda_{2,1}\lambda_{3,1}Q_1 + Q_{1,1})(I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau)) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Lemma 5. Let $u \in P$. Then the following relations hold:

- (a) $(Tu)(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t)\|Tu\|_0$, for $t \in [0, 1]$,
- (b) $-(Tu)''(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t)\|(Tu)''\|_0$, for $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$(c) \quad (Tu)^{(4)}(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t) \left\| (Tu)^{(4)} \right\|_0, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1].$$

$$\text{where } \widehat{k} = \frac{\delta_{1,1}(1-L_1)}{C_{1,1}}.$$

Proof.

By using (14) and (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= H_1(q(\tau)) \leq \frac{1}{1-L_1} Q_1(q(\tau)) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) q(\tau) d\tau ds dv \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that

$$q(\tau) = \mu u(\tau) H_2 g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(\tau) + f(\tau, u(\tau), \mu H g(t, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)).$$

For simplicity we denote

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(v, v) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) q(\tau) d\tau ds dv \\ I_2 &= |\lambda_{2,1}| I_1 + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(s, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) q(\tau) d\tau ds, \\ I_3 &= |\lambda_{2,1} + \lambda_{3,1}| I_2 + |\lambda_{2,1} \lambda_{3,1}| I_1 + \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(\tau, \tau) q(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3 it is easy to see that

$$\delta_{1,1} G_{1,1}(t, t) I_1 \leq Tu(t) \leq \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} I_1 \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{37}$$

$$\delta_{1,1} G_{1,1}(t, t) I_2 \leq -(Tu)''(t) \leq \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} I_2, \quad t \in [0, 1] \tag{38}$$

$$\delta_{1,1} G_{1,1}(t, t) I_3 \leq (Tu)^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} I_3, \quad t \in [0, 1] \tag{39}$$

Using (37-39), we have

$$\|Tu\|_0 \leq \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} I_1, \quad \|(Tu)''\|_0 \leq \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} I_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \|(Tu)^{(4)}\|_0 \leq \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} I_3,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) &\geq \frac{\delta_{1,1}(1-L_1)}{C_{1,1}} G_{1,1}(t, t) \|Tu\|_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \\ -(Tu)''(t) &\geq \frac{\delta_{1,1}(1-L_1)}{C_{1,1}} G_{1,1}(t, t) \|(Tu)''\|_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and} \\ (Tu)^{(4)}(t) &\geq \frac{\delta_{1,1}(1-L_1)}{C_{1,1}} G_{1,1}(t, t) \|(Tu)^{(4)}\|_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. ■

Throughout this paper, we assume additionally that the continuous function $f(t, u, \varphi) : (0, 1) \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ satisfies

(H2)

$$f(t, u, v) \leq f_1(t) f_2(uv), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad u, v \in R^+,$$

where $f_1 : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ and $f_2 : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is continuous, $R^+ = [0, +\infty)$, $R^- = (-\infty, 0]$.

Moreover the function $g(t, u, v, w) : [0, 1] \times (0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, 0) \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfies

(H3) There exists an $a > 0$ such that $g(t, u, v, w)$ is nonincreasing in $u, w \leq a$ and $|v| \leq a$ for each fixed $t \in [0, 1]$ i.e. if $-a \leq v_2 \leq v_1 < 0$, $0 < u_1 \leq u_2$ and $0 < w_1 \leq w_2$ then $g(t, u_1, v_1, w_1) \geq g(t, u_2, v_2, w_2)$.

(H4) There exists an function $g_1(t, w) : [0, 1] \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $g_1(t, w)$ is nonincreasing in $u \leq a$ for each fixed $t \in [0, 1]$, i.e. if $0 < w_1 \leq w_2$ then $g(t, w_1) \geq g(t, w_2)$ and each fixed $0 < r \leq a$

$$0 < \int_0^1 g_1(s, r\hat{k}G_{1,1}(s, s))ds < \infty.$$

So, we assume additionally that the function $g(t, u, v), w$ satisfies

$$g(t, u, v, w) \leq g_1(t, u + |v| + w), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad u, w \in (0, +\infty), \quad v \in (-\infty, 0).$$

Let us introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(x, x) G_{2,1}(x, \tau) G_{3,1}(\tau, s) ds d\tau dx, \\ D_2 &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(v, v) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) f_1(\tau) d\tau ds dv, \\ D_3 &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(x, x) G_{3,1}(x, \tau) d\tau dx, \quad D_6 = \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(s, s) f_1(s) ds, \\ D_4 &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(s, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) f_1(\tau) d\tau ds, \quad D_5 = \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(x, x) dx, \\ D_7 &= \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,2}(v, v) G_{2,2}(v, z) G_{3,2}(z, x) dx dz dv, \\ D_8 &= \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, y\right) G_{2,1}(y, \tau) G_{3,1}(\tau, s) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot G_{1,2}(s, v) G_{2,2}(v, z) G_{3,2}(z, x) dx dz dv ds d\tau dy. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. Let (H1), (H2), (H3) and (H4) hold. Then for all $u \in P \cap \overline{B}_R/B_r$ where $r < a < R$ the following hold

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) &\leq \frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2}}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} D_5 M_r \|u\|_0 + \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} D_6 \sup_{\tau \in (0,1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2 g(v, u(v), u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)), \\ -(Tu)''(t) &\leq (1 + |\lambda_{2,1}|) \left[\frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2}}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} D_5 M_r \|u\|_0 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} D_6 \sup_{\tau \in (0,1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2 g(v, u(v), u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)^{(4)}(t) &\leq (1 + |\lambda_{2,1}| + \lambda_{3,1}|(1 + |\lambda_{2,1}|) + |\lambda_{2,1}\lambda_{3,1}|) \left[\frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2}}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} D_5 M_r \|u\|_0 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} D_6 \sup_{\tau \in (0,1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2 g(v, u(v), u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_r &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,2}(w, w) G_{2,2}(w, z) G_{3,2}(z, v) g_1(v, r\hat{k}G_{1,1}(v, v)) dv dz dw + \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sup_{y \in (0, R]} \sup_{e \in (0, R]} \sup_{p \in [r, R]} \int_0^1 G_{1,2}(w, w) G_{2,2}(w, z) G_{3,2}(z, v) g(v, y, e, p) dv dz dw. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $D_1 \leq D_3 \leq D_5$, and $D_2 \leq D_4 \leq D_6$. Let $u \in P \cap \overline{B}_R/B_r$, then by Lemma 8, $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0 \leq \|u^{(4)}\|_0$ and by Corollary 9, $\|u\|_4 = \|u^{(4)}\|_0$. Thus $r \leq \|u^{(4)}\|_0 \leq R$. Also, since $u \in P$, we have

$u(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t,t) \|u\|_0$, $-u''(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t,t) \|u''\|_0$, $u^{(4)}(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t,t) \|u^{(4)}\|_0$, and $u(t) + |u''(t)| + u^{(4)}(t) \geq r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t,t)$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Let us introduce the following notation:

$$\widehat{a}(v) = u(v) + |u''(v)| + u^{(4)}(v).$$

By Lemma 1. and (H3) – (H5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= H_1(u(s)\mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) + f(s, u(s), \mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-L_1} Q_1(u(s)\mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) + f(s, u(s), \mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s)) \\ &= \frac{\mu}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) u(\tau) H_2g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau) d\tau ds dv + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau), \mu H_2g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) d\tau ds dv \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) u(\tau) Q_2g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau) d\tau ds dv + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) f(s, u(s), \mu H_2g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) d\tau ds dv \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, x) G_{2,1}(x, \tau) G_{3,1}(\tau, s) u(s) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\widehat{a}(v) \leq a} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot G_{1,2}(s, w) G_{2,2}(w, z) G_{3,2}(z, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v)) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\ &+ \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, x) G_{2,1}(x, \tau) G_{3,1}(\tau, s) u(s) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\widehat{a}(v) \geq a} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot G_{1,2}(s, w) G_{2,2}(w, z) G_{3,2}(z, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v)) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) f_1(\tau) f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2g(\tau, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) d\tau ds dv \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, x) G_{2,1}(x, \tau) G_{3,1}(\tau, s) \|u\|_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\widehat{a}(v) \leq a} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot C_{1,2} G_{1,2}(w, w) G_{2,2}(w, z) G_{3,2}(z, v) g_1(v, r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(v, v)) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\ &+ \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, x) G_{2,1}(x, \tau) G_{3,1}(\tau, s) \|u\|_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sup_{y \in (0, R]} \sup_{e \in (0, R]} \sup_{p \in [r, R]} \int_{\widehat{a}(v) \geq a} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot G_{1,2}(s, w) G_{2,2}(w, z) G_{3,2}(z, v) g(v, y, e, p) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) f_1(\tau) \sup_{\tau \in (0, 1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2g(\tau, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) d\tau ds dv \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 C_{1,1} G_{1,1}(x, x) G_{2,1}(x, \tau) G_{3,1}(\tau, s) \|u\|_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot C_{1,2} G_{1,2}(w, w) G_{2,2}(w, z) G_{3,2}(z, v) g_1(v, r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(v, v)) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 C_{1,1} G_{1,1}(x, x) G_{2,1}(x, \tau) G_{3,1}(\tau, s) \|u\|_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sup_{y \in (0, R]} \sup_{e \in (0, R]} \sup_{p \in [r, R]} \int_0^1 \\
 & \quad \cdot C_{1,2} G_{1,2}(w, w) G_{2,2}(w, z) G_{3,2}(z, v) g(v, y, e, p) \, dv dz dw \, ds \, d\tau \, dx + \\
 & + \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 C_{1,1} G_{1,1}(v, v) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) f_1(\tau) \sup_{\tau \in (0, 1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2 g(\tau, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \, dv \\
 & \leq \frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2}}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} D_1 M_r \|u\|_0 + \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} D_2 \sup_{\tau \in (0, 1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2 g(v, u(v), u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) \\
 & \leq \frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2}}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} D_3 M_r \|u\|_0 + \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} D_4 \sup_{\tau \in (0, 1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2 g(v, u(v), u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)), \\
 & \leq \frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2}}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} D_5 M_r \|u\|_0 + \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} D_6 \sup_{\tau \in (0, 1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2 g(v, u(v), u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)),
 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 -(Tu)''(t) & \leq (1 + |\lambda_{2,1}|) \left[\frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2}}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} D_5 M_r \|u\|_0 + \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} D_6 \sup_{\tau \in (0, 1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2 g(v, u(v), u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Tu)^{(4)}(t) & \leq (1 + |\lambda_{2,1}| + \lambda_{3,1}(1 + |\lambda_{2,1}|) + |\lambda_{2,1} \lambda_{3,1}|) \left[\frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2}}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} D_5 M_r \|u\|_0 + \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} D_6 \sup_{\tau \in (0, 1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2 g(v, u(v), u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. ■

Lemma 7. $T(P) \subset P$ and $T : P \cap (\overline{B}_R/B_r) \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.

Proof. First, we prove that $T(P) \subset P$. To do this, let $u \in P$, then we define mapping $T : P \rightarrow C^2[0, 1]$ by (33). Then for any $u \in P$, it is clear that

$$-(Tu)''(t) \geq 0, \quad (Tu)^{(4)}(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1].$$

By Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Tu)(t) & \geq \frac{\delta_{1,1}(1-L_1)}{C_{1,1}} G_{1,1}(t, t) \|Tu\|_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \\
 -(Tu)''(t) & \geq \frac{\delta_{1,1}(1-L_1)}{C_{1,1}} G_{1,1}(t, t) \|(Tu)''\|_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and} \\
 (Tu)^{(4)}(t) & \geq \frac{\delta_{1,1}(1-L_1)}{C_{1,1}} G_{1,1}(t, t) \|(Tu)^{(4)}\|_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T(P) \subset P$.

We recall that

$$\widehat{Q}_2 h = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 C_{1,2} G_{1,2}(v, v) G_{2,2}(v, s) G_{3,2}(s, \tau) h(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \, dv, \tag{40}$$

and it is easy to see that

$$(H_2 h)(t) \leq \frac{1}{1-L_2} (Q_2 h)(t) \leq \frac{1}{1-L_2} \widehat{Q}_2 h, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Let us introduce the following notation:

$$N_r = \widehat{Q}_2 g_1(\tau, r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(\tau, \tau)) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 C_{1,2} G_{1,2}(v, v) G_{2,2}(v, s) G_{3,2}(s, \tau) g_1(\tau, r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(\tau, \tau)) d\tau ds dv. \quad (41)$$

Let $V \subset P \cap (\overline{B}_R/B_r)$ be a bounded set. Then there exists a $d > 0$, such that $\sup\{\|u\|_4 : u \in V\} = d$.

First we prove $T(V)$ is bounded. Since $\|u\|_4 = \max\{\|u\|_0, \|u''\|_0, \|u^{(4)}\|_0\} = \|u^{(4)}\|_0$, we have $u(t) + |u''(t)| + u^{(4)}(t) \leq \|u\|_0 + \|u''\|_0 + \|u^{(4)}\|_0 \leq 3d$, and $|\mu u(t)H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))| \leq \frac{\mu}{1-L_2} \|u\|_0 \widehat{Q}_2 g_1(v, r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(v, v)) = \frac{\mu}{1-L_2} dN_r$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $M_d = \sup\{f_2(w) : w \in [0, \frac{\mu}{1-L_2} dN_r]\}$. Now, from Lemma 3 and Lemma 6, we have for any $u \in V$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |Tu(t)| &= |H_1(u(s)\mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) + f(s, u(s), \mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-L_1} Q_1(u(s)\mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) + f(s, u(s), \mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s)) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2}}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} D_3 M_r \|u\|_0 + D_4 \sup_{\tau \in (0,1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2g(v, u(v), u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)), \\ &\leq \frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2}}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} D_3 M_r d + D_4 \sup_{\tau \in (0,1)} f_2(\mu u(\tau) H_2g(v, u(v), u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)), \\ &\leq \frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2} D_3 d M_r}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} + M_d D_4. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

We have a similar type inequality for $|(Tu)''(t)|$ and $|(Tu)^{(4)}(t)|$. Therefore $T(V)$ is bounded.

Next, we prove that $T(V)$ is equicontinuous. Now, from Lemma 3 and Lemma 6, we have for any $u \in V$ and any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Tu)(t_1) - (Tu)(t_2)| = \\ &= |Q_1(I + (G_1 Q_1) + \dots + (G_1 Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau))(t_1) - Q_1(I + (G_1 Q_1) + \dots + (G_1 Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau))(t_2)| \\ &= |\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t_1, s) G_{2,1}(s, v) G_{3,1}(v, \tau) (I + (G_1 Q_1) + \dots + (G_1 Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau)) d\tau dv ds - \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t_2, s) G_{2,1}(s, v) G_{3,1}(v, \tau) (I + (G_1 Q_1) + \dots + (G_1 Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau)) d\tau dv ds| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |G_{1,1}(t_1, s) - G_{1,1}(t_2, s)| G_{2,1}(s, v) G_{3,1}(v, \tau) (I + (G_1 Q_1) + \dots + (G_1 Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau)) d\tau dv ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |G_{1,1}(t_1, s) - G_{1,1}(t_2, s)| G_{2,1}(s, v) G_{3,1}(v, \tau) q(\tau) d\tau dv ds \\ &\leq \widehat{l}_{1,1} \left(\frac{\mu C_{1,1} C_{1,2}}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} D_5 M_r d + \frac{C_{1,1}}{1-L_1} D_6 M_d \right) |t_1 - t_2|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{l}_{1,1}$ is a constant.

We have a similar type inequality for $|(Tu)''(t_1) - (Tu)''(t_2)|$ and $|(Tu)^{(4)}(t_1) - (Tu)^{(4)}(t_2)|$.

Therefore $T(V)$ is equicontinuous.

Next, we prove that T is continuous. Suppose $u_n, u \in P \cap (\overline{B}_R/B_r)$ and $\|u_n - u\|_4 \rightarrow 0$ which implies that $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$, $u_n''(t) \rightarrow u''(t)$ and $u_n^{(4)}(t) \rightarrow u^{(4)}(t)$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$. Similarly for $f(t, u, v) \leq f_1(t) f_2(|u| + |v| + |w|)$, $f_2(|u_n(t)| + |u_n''(t)| + |u_n^{(4)}(t)|) \rightarrow f_2(|u(t)| + |u''(t)| + |u^{(4)}(t)|)$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$ and $g_1(t, u_n(t)) \rightarrow g_1(t, u(t))$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$. We recall that

$$q(\tau) = \mu u(\tau) H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(\tau) + f(\tau, u(\tau), \mu H_2g(t, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau))$$

so, we have

$$q_n(\tau) = \mu u_n(\tau) H_2 g(v, u_n(v), u_n''(v), u_n^{(4)}(v))(\tau) + f(\tau, u(\tau), \mu H_2 g(t, u_n, u_n'', u_n^{(4)})(\tau)).$$

The assertion follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |Tu_n(t) - Tu(t)| = \\ & = |Q_1(I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n \dots)(q_n(\tau))(t) - Q_1(I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau))(t)| \\ & = \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, s) G_{2,1}(s, v) G_{3,1}(v, \tau) (I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n \dots)(q_n(\tau)) d\tau dv ds - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, s) G_{2,1}(s, v) G_{3,1}(v, \tau) (I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau)) d\tau dv ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, s) G_{2,1}(s, v) G_{3,1}(v, \tau) | (I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n \dots)(q_n(\tau)) \\ & \quad - (I + (G_1Q_1) + \dots + (G_1Q_1)^n \dots)(q(\tau)) | d\tau dv ds, \end{aligned}$$

and the similar estimate for $|(Tu_n)''(t) - (Tu)''(t)|$ and $|(Tu_n)^{(4)}(t) - (Tu)^{(4)}(t)|$ by an application of the standard theorem on the convergence of integrals.

The Ascoli-Arzelà theorem guarantees that $T : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.

This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 8. If $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ and $u \in C^2[0, 1]$, then $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$, and so, $\|u\|_2 = \|u''\|_0$.

Proof. Since $u(0) = u(1)$, there is a $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $u'(\alpha) = 0$, and so $u'(t) = \int_\alpha^t u''(s) ds$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence $|u'(t)| \leq \int_\alpha^t |u''(s)| ds \leq \int_0^1 |u''(s)| ds \leq \|u''\|_0$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus $\|u'\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$. Since $u(0) = 0$, we have $u(t) = \int_0^t u'(s) ds$, $t \in [0, 1]$, and so $|u(t)| \leq \int_0^t |u'(s)| ds \leq \|u'\|_0$. Thus $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u'\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$. Since $\|u\|_2 = \max\{\|u\|_0, \|u''\|_0\}$ and $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$, we obtain that $\|u\|_2 = \|u''\|_0$.

This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Corollary 9. $\forall u \in X$, $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0 \leq \|u^{(4)}\|_0$, so we have $\|u\|_4 = \|u^{(4)}\|_0$.

Corollary 10. Let $r > 0$ and let $u \in \partial B_r \cap P$. Then $\|u\|_4 = \|u^{(4)}\|_0 = r$.

3. Main results

Theorem 1. Let (H1),(H2),(H3) and (H4) hold. Assume that the following condition holds (H5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{w \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f_2(w)}{w} \leq c_1, \\ & \liminf_{\varphi \rightarrow \infty} \min_{t \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]} \inf_{u \in [0, +\infty)} \frac{f(t, u, \varphi)}{\varphi} \geq c_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\liminf_{w \rightarrow \infty} \min_{t \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]} \inf_{u \in [0, +\infty)} \inf_{|v| \in [0, +\infty)} \frac{g(t, u, v, w)}{w} = \infty,$$

where c_1 and c_2 is positive real number.

Then there exists $\mu^* > 0$, such that if $\mu \in (0, \mu^*)$, then problem (3) has at least one positive solution.

Proof.

We divide the rather long proof into three steps.

(I) Firstly, we will prove that the first part of assumptions (i) of Lemma 1 is satisfied.

To do this, by (H5), there exist $0 < r < a$ such that

$$f_2(w) \leq c_1 w, \quad \forall w \in [0, r]. \tag{43}$$

Let $u \in \partial B_r \cap P$, by Lemma 8, $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0 \leq \|u^{(4)}\|_0$ and by Corollary 9, $\|u\|_4 = \|u^{(4)}\|_0$, then we have $u^{(4)}(t) \leq \|u^{(4)}\|_0 = r$, $-u''(t) \leq \|u''\|_0 \leq r$ and $u(t) \leq \|u\|_0 \leq r$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$. Also, since $u \in P$, we have $u^{(4)}(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t)\|u^{(4)}\|_0$, $-u''(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t)\|u''\|_0$, $u(t) \geq \widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t)\|u\|_0$, and $u(t) + |u''(t)| + u^{(4)}(t) \geq r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. We recall that $N_r = \widehat{Q}_2g_1(v, r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t))$ and $0 < \mu \leq \min\{\frac{1-L_2}{N_r}, \frac{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)}{M_r C_{1,1} C_{1,2} D_5 + c_2 D_6 C_{1,1} N_r}\}$.

We now show that

$$0 \leq \mu u(t)H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(t) \leq r, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

To see this, since $\mu \leq \frac{1-L_2}{N_r}$ and by (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu u(s)H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) &\leq \mu \|u\|_0 \frac{1}{1-L_2} Q_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) \\ &\leq \mu r \frac{1}{1-L_2} Q_2g_1(v, r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t)) \leq \frac{\mu r}{1-L_2} \widehat{Q}_2g_1(v, r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t, t)) = \frac{\mu r N_r}{1-L_2} \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

So, using by (43), we have

$$f_2(\mu u(s)H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v)(t))) \leq c_1 \left(\mu u(s)H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v)(t)) \right), \quad \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{1-L_2}{N_r}.$$

We recall that $\widehat{a}(v) = u(v) + |u''(v)| + u^{(4)}(v)$.

Thus, by Lemma 3, (H1),(H2),(H3) and (H4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= H_1(u(s)\mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) + f(s, u(s), \mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-L_1} Q_1(u(s)\mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) + f(s, u(s), \mu H_2g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s)) \\ &= \frac{\mu}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v)G_{2,1}(v, s)G_{3,1}(s, \tau)u(\tau)H_2g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau) d\tau ds dv + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v)G_{2,1}(v, s)G_{3,1}(s, \tau)f(\tau, u(\tau), \mu H_2g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) d\tau ds dv \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v)G_{2,1}(v, s)G_{3,1}(s, \tau)u(\tau)Q_2g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau) d\tau ds dv + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v)G_{2,1}(v, s)G_{3,1}(s, \tau)f(s, u(s), \mu H_2g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) d\tau ds dv \\ &= \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, x)G_{2,1}(x, \tau)G_{3,1}(\tau, s)u(s) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\widehat{a}(v) \leq \alpha} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot G_{1,2}(s, w)G_{2,2}(w, z)G_{3,2}(z, v)g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v)) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\ &+ \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, x)G_{2,1}(x, \tau)G_{3,1}(\tau, s)u(s) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\widehat{a}(v) \leq \alpha} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot G_{1,2}(s, w)G_{2,2}(w, z)G_{3,2}(z, v)g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v)) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t, v)G_{2,1}(v, s)G_{3,1}(s, \tau)f_1(\tau)f_2(\mu u(\tau)H_2g(\tau, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) d\tau ds dv \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t,x)G_{2,1}(x,\tau)G_{3,1}(\tau,s)\|u\|_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\widehat{a}(v)\leq a} \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot C_{1,2}G_{1,2}(w,w)G_{2,2}(w,z)G_{3,2}(z,v)g_1(v,r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(v,v)) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\
 &+ \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t,x)G_{2,1}(x,\tau)G_{3,1}(\tau,s)\|u\|_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sup_{y\in(0,R]} \sup_{e\in(0,R]} \sup_{p\in[r,R]} \int_{\widehat{a}(v)\geq a} \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot G_{1,2}(s,w)G_{2,2}(w,z)G_{3,2}(z,v)g(v,y,e,p) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t,v)G_{2,1}(v,s)G_{3,1}(s,\tau)f_1(\tau)c_1\mu u(\tau)H_2g(\tau,u,u'',u^{(4)})(\tau)d\tau ds dv \\
 &\leq \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t,x)G_{2,1}(x,\tau)G_{3,1}(\tau,s)\|u\|_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot C_{1,2}G_{1,2}(w,w)G_{2,2}(w,z)G_{3,2}(z,v)g_1(v,r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(v,v)) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\
 &+ \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t,x)G_{2,1}(x,\tau)G_{3,1}(\tau,s)\|u\|_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sup_{y\in(0,R]} \sup_{e\in(0,R]} \sup_{p\in[r,R]} \int_0^1 \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot C_{1,2}G_{1,2}(w,w)G_{2,2}(w,z)G_{3,2}(z,v)g(v,y,e,p) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t,v)G_{2,1}(v,s)G_{3,1}(s,\tau)f_1(\tau)c_1\mu\|u\|_0 \frac{1}{1-L} Q_2g(v,u(v),u''(v),u^{(4)}(v)(t))(s)d\tau ds dv \\
 &\leq \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t,x)G_{2,1}(x,\tau)G_{3,1}(\tau,s)\|u\|_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot C_{1,2}G_{1,2}(w,w)G_{2,2}(w,z)G_{3,2}(z,v)g_1(v,r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(v,v)) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\
 &+ \frac{\mu}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t,x)G_{2,1}(x,\tau)G_{3,1}(\tau,s)\|u\|_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sup_{y\in(0,R]} \sup_{e\in(0,R]} \sup_{p\in[r,R]} \int_0^1 \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot C_{1,2}G_{1,2}(w,w)G_{2,2}(w,z)G_{3,2}(z,v)g(v,y,e,p) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{1-L_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}(t,v)G_{2,1}(v,s)G_{3,1}(s,\tau)f_1(\tau)c_1\mu\|u\|_0 \frac{1}{1-L_2} Q_2g_1(v,r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t,t))d\tau ds dv \\
 &\leq \frac{\mu\|u\|_0}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 C_{1,1}G_{1,1}(x,x)G_{2,1}(x,\tau)G_{3,1}(\tau,s) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot C_{1,2}G_{1,2}(w,w)G_{2,2}(w,z)G_{3,2}(z,v)g_1(v,r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(v,v)) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\
 &+ \frac{\mu\|u\|_0}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 C_{1,1}G_{1,1}(x,x)G_{2,1}(x,\tau)G_{3,1}(\tau,s) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sup_{y\in(0,R]} \sup_{e\in(0,R]} \sup_{p\in[r,R]} \int_0^1 \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot C_{1,2}G_{1,2}(w,w)G_{2,2}(w,z)G_{3,2}(z,v)g(v,y,e,p) dv dz dw ds d\tau dx + \\
 &+ \frac{c_1\mu\|u\|_0}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 C_{1,1}G_{1,1}(v,v)G_{2,1}(v,s)G_{3,1}(s,\tau)f_1(\tau)\widehat{Q}_2g_1(v,r\widehat{k}G_{1,1}(t,t))d\tau ds dv
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{\mu(D_1C_{1,1}C_{1,2}M_r + c_1D_2C_{1,1}N_r)}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \|u\|_0 \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(D_5C_{1,1}C_{1,2}M_r + c_1D_6C_{1,1}N_r)}{(1-L_1)(1-L_2)} \|u\|_0 \leq \|u\|_0, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|Tu\|_0 \leq \|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P. \quad (44)$$

We have a similar type inequality for $\|(Tu)''\|_0$ and $\|(Tu)^{(4)}\|_0$:

$$\|(Tu)''\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0, \quad \|(Tu)^{(4)}\|_0 \leq \|u^{(4)}\|_0, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P. \quad (45)$$

This proves one of assumptions appearing Lemma 1.

(II) Secondly, we will prove that the second part of assumptions (i) of Lemma 1 is satisfied. To do this, by condition (H4) there exists $R_2 > 0$ such that

$$f(t, u, w) \geq c_2w, \quad \forall u \in R^+, \quad w \geq R_2, \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right].$$

Let us choose $c_3 \geq \frac{1}{c_2\mu\sigma D_5}$. Then by condition (H5), there exists $R_1 > \frac{R_2}{\mu c_3 \delta_1 \widehat{K}_2 D_7} > 0$ such that,

$$g(t, u, v, w) \geq c_3w, \quad \forall u, v \in R^+, \quad w \geq R_1, \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right].$$

Let $R > \max\{\frac{R_1}{\sigma}, a\}$. Let $u \in \partial B_R \cap P$, i.e. $\|u^{(4)}\|_0 = R$. Thus, using by (32) we have

$$\min_{t \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]} u^{(4)}(t) \geq \sigma \|u^{(4)}\|_0 = \sigma R > R_1, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu H_2 g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) \geq \mu Q_2 g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) \\ &\geq \mu \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,2}(s, v) G_{2,2}(v, z) G_{3,2}(z, x) g(x, u(x), u''(x), u^{(4)}(x)) dx dz dv \\ &\quad \geq \mu \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,2}(s, v) G_{2,2}(v, z) G_{3,2}(z, x) c_3 R_1 dx dz dv \\ &\quad \geq \mu c_3 R_1 \delta_1 G_{1,2}(s, s) \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,2}(v, v) G_{2,2}(v, z) G_{3,2}(z, x) dx dz dv \\ &\quad \geq \mu c_3 R_1 \delta_1 \min_{s \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]} G_1(s, s) D_7 = \mu c_3 R_1 \delta_1 \widehat{K}_2 D_7 > R_2, \quad s \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{K}_2 = \min_{s \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]} G_{1,2}(s, s)$.

Then, by Lemma 3, (H1) and (H5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= (H_1(u(s)\mu H_2 g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) + f(s, u(s), \mu H_2 g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s)))|_{t=\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq (Q_1(u(s)\mu H_2 g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s) + f(s, u(s), \mu H_2 g(v, u(v), u''(v), u^{(4)}(v))(s)))|_{t=\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, v\right) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) u(\tau) H_2 g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau) d\tau ds dv + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, v\right) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau), \mu H_2 g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) d\tau ds dv \\
 & = \mu \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, v\right) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) u(\tau) H_2 g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau) d\tau ds dv + \\
 & + \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, v\right) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau), \mu H_2 g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau)) d\tau ds dv \\
 & \geq c_2 \mu \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, v\right) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) H_2 g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau) d\tau ds dv \\
 & \geq c_2 \mu \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, v\right) G_{2,1}(v, s) G_{3,1}(s, \tau) Q_2 g(v, u, u'', u^{(4)})(\tau) d\tau ds dv \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \geq c_2 \mu \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, y\right) G_{2,1}(y, \tau) G_{3,1}(\tau, s) \cdot \\
 & \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,2}(s, v) G_{2,2}(v, z) G_{3,2}(z, x) g(x, u(x), u''(x), u^{(4)})(x) dx dz dv ds d\tau dy \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \geq c_2 c_3 \mu \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, y\right) G_{2,1}(y, \tau) G_{3,1}(\tau, s) \cdot \\
 & \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,2}(s, v) G_{2,2}(v, z) G_{3,2}(z, x) u^{(4)}(x) dx dz dv ds d\tau dy \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \geq c_2 c_3 \mu \sigma \|u^{(4)}\|_0 \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, y\right) G_{2,1}(y, \tau) G_{3,1}(\tau, s) \cdot \\
 & \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G_{1,2}(s, v) G_{2,2}(v, z) G_{3,2}(z, x) dx dz dv ds d\tau dy \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \geq c_2 c_3 \mu \sigma D_8 \|u^{(4)}\|_0 \geq \|u^{(4)}\|_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(Tu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq \|u^{(4)}\|_0, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Consequently,

$$\|u^{(4)}\|_0 \leq \|Tu\|_0 \leq \|(Tu)^{(4)}\|_0, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P. \tag{46}$$

(III) Finally, we will prove that $T : P \cap (\overline{B}_R \setminus B_r) \rightarrow P$ is a completely continuous operator. By Lemma 7, the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem guarantees that $T : P \cap (\overline{B}_R \setminus B_r) \rightarrow P$ is a completely continuous.

Then due to Lemma 1, by (45) and (46) inequality we see that the problem (3) has at least one positive solution.

This finishes the proof. ■

4. Conclusions

This paper investigates the existence of positive solutions for a nonlinear sixth-order differential system using a fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression type of norm type. The nonlinear terms may be singular with respect to the time and space variables. The problem comes from the deformation analysis of an elastic circular ring segment in the equilibrium state. The results obtained herein generalize and improve some known results including singular and non-singular cases.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] C.P. Gupta, Existence and uniqueness theorems for a bending of an elastic beam equation, *Appl. Anal.* 26 (1988) 289-304.
- [2] C.P. Gupta, Existence and uniqueness results for some fourth order fully quasilinear boundary value problem, *Appl. Anal.* 36 (1990) 169-175.
- [3] B. Liu, Positive solutions of fourth-order two point boundary value problem, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 148 (2004) 407-420.
- [4] R. Ma, Positive solutions of fourth-order two point boundary value problems, *Ann. Differential Equations* 15 (1999) 305-313.
- [5] R. Ma, J. Zhang, S. Fu, The method of lower and upper solutions for fourth-order two point boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 215 (1997) 415-422.
- [6] R. Ma, H. Wang, On the existence of positive solutions of fourth-order ordinary differential equations, *Appl. Anal.* 59 (1995) 225-231.
- [7] A.R. Aftabzadeh, Existence and uniqueness theorems for fourth-order boundary problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 116 (1986) 415-426. 2003;34(11):1585-1598.
- [8] Y. Yang, Fourth-order two-point boundary value problems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 104 (1988) 175-180.
- [9] M. A. Del Pino, R.F. Manasevich, Existence for fourth-order boundary problem under a two-parameter nonresonance condition, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 112 (1991) 81-86.
- [10] RP Agarwal, B Kovacs, D O'Regan, Positive solutions for a sixth-order boundary value problem with four parameters - *Boundary Value Problems*, 1 (2013) 1-22. 81-86.
- [11] RP Agarwal, B Kovacs, D O'Regan, Existence of positive solution for a sixthorder differential system with variable parameters - *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, 44 (2014) 437-454. 81-86.
- [12] Ravi P. Agarwal, B Kovacs, D O'Regan, Existence of positive solutions for a fourth-order differential system *Annales Polonici Mathematici* 112 : 3 pp. 301-312. , 12 p. (2014) 81-86.
- [13] F. Wang, Y. An, Positive solutions for a second-order differential systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 373 (2011) 370-375.
- [14] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic press, New York, 1988.
- [15] G. Chai, Existence of positive solutions for fourth-order boundary value problem with variable parameters, *Nonlinear Anal.* 66, (2007) 870-880.