



Research Paper

# Functional Random Differential Equation with Random Impulse

D.S.Palimkar

Department of Mathematics,  
 Vasantnao Naik College, Nanded (M.S.) India

**ABSTRACT:** Here, we investigate the neutral functional random differential equation model with random impulses and proved the existence, uniqueness results using Contraction mapping Principle.

**KEYWORDS:** Random differential equation, random impulses, positive solution, contraction principle.

**2000MS CLASSIFICATIONS:** 60H25, 47H40, 47N20.

Received 28 May, 2022; Revised 05 June, 2022; Accepted 07 June, 2022 © The author(s) 2022.

Published with open access at [www.questjournals.org](http://www.questjournals.org)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Many evolution process from various fields are characterized by the fact that they undergo abrupt change of state at certain moments of time between intervals of continuous evolution. The duration of these changes are often negligible compared to the total duration of process act instantaneously in the form of impulses. It is now being recognized that the theory of impulsive differential equations is not only richer than the corresponding theory of differential equations but also represents a more natural frame work for mathematical modeling of many real world phenomena.

The impulses are exist at fixed time or at random time ie., they are deterministic or random. In this paper, we investigate a neutral type of differential equation, its importance in applications is yet to be investigated. So, the problem under study is new to the literature and so are the existence results to the theory of nonlinear problems of ordinary random differential equation.

## II. MODEL OF PROBLEM

Consider the neutral functional random differential equation as

$$\left[ \frac{p(t, \omega)}{w(t, u(t, \omega), \omega)} \right]' = u(t, u(t, \omega), \omega) + v(t, u(t, \omega), \omega) \quad t \neq \xi_k, t \in [\tau, T], \omega \in \Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

$$p(\xi_k, \omega) = b_k(\tau_k) p(\xi_k^-, \omega), k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.2)$$

$$p(t_0, \omega) = \varphi, \quad (1.3)$$

Where functionals  $u : \mathfrak{R}_\tau \times C \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n$ ,  $v : \mathfrak{R}_\tau \times C \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n - \{0\}$ ,  $w : \mathfrak{R}_\tau \times C \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n - \{0\}$  for each  $\gamma > 0$ , define  $C(\gamma) = \{\zeta \in C : \|\zeta\|^2 \leq \gamma\}$ ,  $C = C([-r, 0], \mathfrak{R}^n)$  is the set of piecewise continuous functions from  $[-r, 0]$  into  $\mathfrak{R}^n$  with some given  $r > 0$  and  $p_{(t, \omega)}$  is a function with  $t$  is fixed,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , defined  $p_t(s, \omega) = p(t + s, \omega)$  for all  $s \in [-r, 0]$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ ;  $\xi_0 = t_0$  and  $\xi_k = \xi_{k-1} + \tau_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , here  $t_0 \in \mathfrak{R}_\tau$  is arbitrary number. Let  $\mathfrak{R}^n$  be the  $n$  – dimensional Euclidean space. Suppose that  $\tau_k$  is a random variable defined from  $\Omega$  to  $D_k = (0, d_k)$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $0 < d_k < +\infty$ . Let  $\tau \in \mathfrak{R}$  be a constant. We denote

$$\mathfrak{R}_\tau = [\tau, T], \quad \mathfrak{R}^+ = [0, +\infty).$$

Denote  $\{B_t, t \geq 0\}$  the simple counting process generated by  $\{\xi_n\}$ , that is,  $\{B_t \geq n\} = \{\xi_n \leq t\}$ , and denote  $F_t$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ . Then  $(\Omega, P, \{F_t\})$  is a probability space.

In this paper, we studied random impulses of neutral type of differential equation and proved the existence, uniqueness results using Contraction Principle.

### III. AUXILIARY RESULTS

A random process  $\{p(t, \omega), t_0 - r \leq t \leq T, \omega \in \Omega\}$  is called a random solution to the equation (1.1)-(1.3), if

- (i)  $p(t, \omega)$  is  $F_t$ -adapted for  $\omega \in \Omega, t \geq t_0$ ;
- (ii)  $p(t_0 + s + \omega) = \varphi(s, \omega)$  where  $s \in [-r, 0], \omega \in \Omega$  and

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, \omega) = & \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\tau_i) w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \left[ \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right] \right. \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\tau_j) w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds \\ & \left. + w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds \right] I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(t, \omega), t \in [t_0, T], \omega \in \Omega \end{aligned}$$

where  $\prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\tau_j) = b_k(\tau_k) b_{k-1}(\tau_{k-1}) \cdots b_1(\tau_1)$ ,  $\prod_{j=m}^n (\cdot) = 1$  as  $m > n$  and  $I_A(\cdot)$  is the index function.

- (iii)  $\frac{p(t, \omega)}{w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega)}$  is differentiable and satisfy the equation (1.1) for  $t \in [\tau, T], \omega \in \Omega$ .

**Consider the following hypotheses for proving the main result.**

(A<sub>1</sub>). The functions  $v$  and  $u$  satisfies Lipschitz condition and there exists positive constants  $L_1, L_2 > 0$  for  $\psi, \zeta \in C$  and  $t \in [\tau, T], \omega \in \Omega$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| w(t, \psi_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) - w(t, \zeta_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 & \leq L_1 \left\| \psi - \zeta \right\|_t^2, \\ \left\| (u + v)(t, \psi_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) - (u + v)(t, \zeta_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 & \leq L_2 \left\| \psi - \zeta \right\|_t^2. \end{aligned}$$

(A<sub>2</sub>). The functions  $w : \mathfrak{R}_\tau \times C \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n - \{0\}$ ,  $u, v : \mathfrak{R}_\tau \times C \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n$  are continuous and t exists a non-negative constant  $\kappa$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| v(t, 0, \omega) \right\|^2 & \leq \kappa \\ \left\| u(t, 0, \omega) \right\|^2 \leq \kappa, \left\| v(t, 0, \omega) \right\|^2 & \leq \kappa. \end{aligned}$$

(A<sub>3</sub>). There exists constants  $0 \leq \gamma_1 < 1$  and  $\gamma_2 > 0$  for  $\varphi(0, \omega) \in C$  such that

$$\left\| w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega) \right\|^2 \leq \gamma_1 \left\| \varphi(0, \omega) \right\|^2 + \gamma_2$$

(A<sub>4</sub>).  $E \left[ \max_{i,k} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \left\| b_j(\tau_j) \right\|^2 \right\} \right] < \infty$ .

## IV. MAIN RESULT

Suppose the hypotheses  $(A_1) - (A_4)$  holds, then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$E \left[ \max_{i,k} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\tau_j)\|^2 \right\} \right] \leq C .$$

If the inequality 
$$\frac{2CL_1 E \|\varphi(0, \omega)\|^2}{\gamma_1 E \|\varphi(0, \omega)\|^2 + \gamma_2} + 2 \max\{1, C\} (T - t_0 + \omega)^2 [L_1(L_2\gamma + \kappa) + (L_1\gamma + \kappa)L_2] < 1$$

(3.1)

hold, then the problem(1.1)-(1.3) has a unique random solution.

**Proof.** Suppose  $T$  be an arbitrary positive number  $t_0 < T < +\infty$ . For apply the principle, we define operator

$P : \Phi_T \rightarrow \Phi_T$  as

$$(Pp)(t, \omega) = \varphi(t - t_0 + \omega), \quad t \in [t_0 - r, t_0], \omega \in \Omega,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (Pp)(t, \omega) = & \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\tau_i) w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{g(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right. \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\tau_j) w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] ds \\ & \left. + w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t \{u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)\} ds \right] I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(t, \omega), \quad t \in [t_0, T] \end{aligned}$$

,  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then, to show that  $P$  maps  $\Phi_T$  into itself. Obviously  $(Pp) : \Phi_T \rightarrow \Phi_T$  is continuous with

$$(Pp)_{t_0} = \varphi \text{ and } \|(Pp)(t, \omega)\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} = & \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\tau_i) w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{g(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\tau_j) w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] ds \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] ds \right] I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(t, \omega) \right\|^2 \\ \leq & 2 \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k \|b_i(\tau_i)\|^2 \|w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega)\|^2 \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(t, \omega) \right] \\ + 2 & \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\tau_j)\| \|w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega)\| \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} \|u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)\| ds \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \|w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega)\| \int_{\xi_k}^t \|u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)\| ds \right] I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(t, \omega) \right]^2 \\ E & \|(Pp)(t, \omega)\|^2 \\ \leq & 2E \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k \|b_i(\tau_i)\|^2 \|w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega)\|^2 \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(t, \omega) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + 2 E \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k \left\| b_j(\tau_j) \right\| \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\| \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} \left\| [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] \right\| ds \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\| \int_{\xi_k}^t \left\| [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] \right\| ds \right. \right. \left. \left. I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})} (t, \omega) \right] \right]^2 \\
 & \leq 2 E \left[ \max_k \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \left\| b_j(\tau_j) \right\|^2 \right\} \right] E \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 \\
 & + 2 E \left[ \max_k \left\{ 1, \prod_{j=1}^k \left\| b_j(\tau_j) \right\|^2 \right\} \right] E \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\| \int_{t_0}^t \left\| [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] \right\| ds I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})} (t, \omega) \right]^2 \\
 & \leq 2 C E \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 \\
 & \quad + 2 \max \{1, C\} E \left[ \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\| \int_{t_0}^t \left\| [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] \right\| ds \right]^2 \\
 & \leq 2 C E \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 \\
 & \quad + 2 \max \{1, C\} E \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 (T - t_0 + \omega) \int_{t_0}^t E \left\| [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] \right\|^2 ds \\
 & \leq \left[ E \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 \right] \left[ 2 C E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 + 2 \max \{1, C\} (T - t_0 + \omega) \int_{t_0}^t E \left\| [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] \right\|^2 ds \right] \\
 & \leq \left[ E \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) - v(t, 0, \omega) \right\|^2 + E \left\| w(t, 0, \omega) \right\|^2 \right] \left[ 2 C E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 + 4 \max \{1, C\} (T - t_0 + \omega) \right. \\
 & \left. \times \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ E \left\| (u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) - u(s, 0, \omega)) + (v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) - v(s, 0, \omega)) \right\|^2 + E \left\| u(s, 0, \omega) + v(s, 0, \omega) \right\|^2 \right\} ds \right] \\
 & \leq \left[ L_1 E \left\| p \right\|_t^2 + \kappa \right] \left[ 2 C \frac{E \left\| \varphi(0, \omega) \right\|^2}{\gamma_1 E \left\| \varphi(0, \omega) \right\|^2 + \gamma_2} + 4 \max \{1, C\} (T - t_0 + \omega) \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ L_2 E \left\| p \right\|_s^2 + \kappa \right\} ds \right] \\
 & \leq \left[ L_1 E \left\| p \right\|_t^2 + \kappa \right] \left[ 2 C \frac{E \left\| \varphi(0, \omega) \right\|^2}{\gamma_1 E \left\| \varphi(0, \omega) \right\|^2 + \gamma_2} + 4 \max \{1, C\} (T - t_0 + \omega)^2 \kappa + 4 \max \{1, C\} L_2 (T - t_0 + \omega) \int_{t_0}^t E \left\| p \right\|_s^2 ds \right] \\
 & \leq \left[ L_1 E \left\| p \right\|_t^2 + \kappa \right] \left[ \beta_1 + \beta_2 E \left\| p \right\|_t^2 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta_1 = 2C \frac{E \|\varphi(0, \omega)\|^2}{\gamma_1 E \|\varphi(0, \omega)\|^2 + \gamma_2} + 4 \max\{1, C\} (T - t_0 + \omega)^2 \kappa \text{ and}$$

$$\beta_2 = 4 \max\{1, C\} L_2 (T - t_0 + \omega)^2$$

$$\sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|(Pp)\|_t^2 \leq \left[ L_1 \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|p\|_t^2 + \kappa \right] \left[ \beta_1 + \beta_2 \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|p\|_t^2 \right] \quad (3.2)$$

Hence, the operator  $P$  maps  $\Phi_T$  into itself.

Next, show that  $P$  is a contraction mapping, we have

$$(Pp)(t, \omega) - (Pq)(t, \omega)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\tau_i) \left[ w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) - w(t, q_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \right] \left[ \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\tau_j) \left\{ \begin{aligned} &w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds \\ &- w(t, q_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds \end{aligned} \right\} \right]$$

$$+ \left\{ w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds - w(t, q_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds \right\} I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(t, \omega)$$

$$\| (Pp)(t, \omega) - (Pq)(t, \omega) \|^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k \|b_i(\tau_i)\|^2 \|w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) - w(t, q_{(t, \omega)}, \omega)\|^2 \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 \right] I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(t, \omega)$$

$$\leq 2 + 2 \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\tau_j)\|^2 \left\| \begin{aligned} &w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds \\ &- w(t, q_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds \end{aligned} \right\| \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \left\| w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds - w(t, q_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds \right\| \right] I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(t, \omega)^2$$

$$E \|(Pp)(t, \omega) - (Pq)(t, \omega)\|^2$$

$$\leq 2E \left[ \max_k \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\tau_j)\|^2 \right\} \right] E \|w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) - w(t, q_{(t, \omega)}, \omega)\|^2 E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2$$

$$+ 2E \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\tau_j)\|^2 \left\| \begin{aligned} &w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds \\ &- w(t, q_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds \end{aligned} \right\| \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \left\| w(t, q_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds - w(t, q_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, q_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds \right\| \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left[ \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] ds - w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] ds \right\| \right. \\
 & + \left. \left\| h(t, y_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] ds - w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] ds \right\| \right] I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(t, \omega) \Big]^2 \\
 & \leq 2CE \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) - w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 \\
 & \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k \|b_j(\tau_j)\| \left\| \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) - w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\| \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} \left\| [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] \right\| ds \right. \right. \\
 & + 2E \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left. \left\| w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\| \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} \left\| ([u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) - u(s, q_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] - ([v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) - v(s, q_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)])) \right\| ds \right] \right. \\
 & \left. + \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) - w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\| \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] ds \right. \\
 & + \left. \left\| w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\| \int_{\xi_k}^t \left\| ([u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) - u(s, q_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] + ([v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) - v(s, q_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)])) \right\| ds \right. \\
 & \left. \leq 2CE \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) - w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 \right. \\
 & + 2 \max\{1, C\} E \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left( \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) - w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\| \int_{t_0}^t [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] ds \right. \right. \\
 & + \left. \left\| w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\| \int_{t_0}^t \left\| ([u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) - u(s, q_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] - ([v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) - v(s, q_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)])) \right\| ds \right] I_{[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})}(t, \omega) \Big]^2 \\
 & \leq 2CE \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) - w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 \\
 & + \max\{1, C\} (E \left\| w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) - w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 (T - t_0 + \omega) \int_{t_0}^t E \left\| [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, q_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] \right\|^2 ds \\
 & + E \left\| w(t, q_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \right\|^2 (T - t_0 + \omega) \int_{t_0}^t E \left\| ([u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) - u(s, q_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] + ([v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) - v(s, q_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)])) \right\|^2 ds) \\
 & \leq 2CL_1 E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 E \|p - q\|_r^2 + \max\{1, C\} (T - t_0 + \omega) \\
 & \times \left[ L_1 E \|p - q\|_r^2 \int_{t_0}^t (L_2 E \|p\|_s^2 + \kappa) ds + (L_1 E \|p\|_r^2 + \kappa) L_2 \int_{t_0}^t E \|p - q\|_s^2 ds \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \left\| (Pp) - (Pq) \right\|_r^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq 2CL_1 E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|p - q\|_t^2 + 2 \max\{1, C\} (T - t_0 + \omega) \left[ L_1 \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|p - q\|_t^2 (T - t_0 + \omega) \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left( L_2 \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|p\|_t^2 + \kappa \right) + \left( L_1 \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|p\|_t^2 + \kappa \right) L_2 (T - t_0 + \omega) \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|p - q\|_t^2 \right] \\
 &\leq 2CL_1 E \left\| \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right\|^2 \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|p - q\|_t^2 + 2 \max\{1, C\} (T - t_0 + \omega) \left[ L_1 \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|p - q\|_t^2 (T - t_0 + \omega) \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. (L_2 \gamma + \kappa) + (L_1 \gamma + \kappa) L_2 (T - t_0 + \omega) \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|p - q\|_t^2 \right] \\
 &\leq \left\{ 2CL_1 \frac{E \|\varphi(0, \omega)\|^2}{\gamma_1 E \|\varphi(0, \omega)\|^2 + \gamma_2} + 2 \max\{1, C\} (T - t_0 + \omega)^2 [L_1 (L_2 \gamma + \kappa) + (L_1 \gamma + \kappa) L_2] \right\} \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} E \|p - q\|_t^2
 \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\| (Pp) - (Pq) \|_{\Phi_T}^2 \\
 &\leq \left\{ 2CL_1 \frac{E \|\varphi(0, \omega)\|^2}{\gamma_1 E \|\varphi(0, \omega)\|^2 + \gamma_2} + 2 \max\{1, C\} (T - t_0 + \omega)^2 [L_1 (L_2 \gamma + \kappa) + (L_1 \gamma + \kappa) L_2] \right\} \|p - q\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (3.1),  $P$  is a contraction mapping. Therefore, mapping  $P$  has a unique random fixed point  $p$  in  $\Phi_T$  which is a random solution of (2.1) with  $\varphi \in C(\gamma)$ . For  $t \in [t_0, T]$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $t \neq \xi_k$ , there exists  $(\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})$  such that  $t \in (\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})$ , which is

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(t, \omega) &= \prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\tau_i) w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \left[ \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right] \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\tau_j) w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds + w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_k}^t [u(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore, ,

$$\left[ \frac{p(t, \omega)}{w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega)} \right]' = u(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) + v(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega), \text{ as } t \neq \xi_k, \omega \in \Omega.$$

Furthermore,

$$p_{\xi_k} = \prod_{i=1}^k b_i(\tau_i) w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \left[ \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k b_j(\tau_j) w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s, \omega)}, \omega)] ds$$

and

$$p_{\xi_k}^- = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} b_i(\tau_i) w(t, p_{(t, \omega)}, \omega) \left[ \frac{\varphi(0, \omega)}{w(0, \varphi(0, \omega), \omega)} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} b_j(\tau_j) w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{i-1}}^{\xi_i} [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] ds \\
 & + w(t, p_{(t,\omega)}, \omega) \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^t [u(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega) + v(s, p_{(s,\omega)}, \omega)] ds
 \end{aligned}$$

imply that  $p_{\xi_k} = b_k(\tau_k) p_{\xi_k}^-$ . Thus,  $p(t, \omega)$  is a random solution to system (2.1).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The paper is outcome result of Minor Research Project funded by Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded[MS] India.

### REFERENCES

- [1]. G.A. Afrouzi, Z. Naghizadeh and S. Mahdavi, Numerical Methods for Finding Multiple Solutions of a Dirichlet Problem with Nonlinear Terms. *International Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 2, (2006),14-152.
- [2]. A. Anguraj, K.Karthikeyan, Existence of solutions for impulsive neutral functional differential equations with non-local conditions. *Nonlinear Analysis Theory Methods and Applications*, 70(7) (2009), 2717 – 2721.
- [3]. A. Anguraj, M. Mallika Arjunan, E. Hernandez, Existence results for an impulsive partial neutral functional differential equations with state – dependent delay. *Applicable Analysis*, 86(7) (2007), 861-872.
- [4]. B.C. Dhage, Existence results for neutral functional differential inclusions in Banach algebras. *Nonlinear analysis*, 6 (2006) ,1290-1306.
- [5]. B.C Dhage, M. Kumpulainen, Nonlinear functional boundary value problems involving the product of two nonlinearities. *Applied Mathematics letter*, 21 (2008),537-544
- [6]. E. Hernandez, Marco Rebello, H.R.Henriquez, Existence of solutions for impulsive partial neutral functional differential equations. *J.Math.Anal.Appl.* 331, (2007), 1135-1158.
- [7]. V. Lakshmikantham, D.D. Bainov ,P.S. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*. World Scientific, Singapore. (1989).
- [8]. Qixiang Dong, Zhenbin Fan, Gang Li, Existence of solutions to Nonlocal Neutral Functional Differential and Integro-differential equations. *International Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 5, (2008), 140-151.
- [9]. Yu. V. Rogovchenko, Impulsive evolution systems: Main results and new trends. *Dynamics Contin. Diser. Impulsive Sys.* 3,(1997),57-88.
- [10]. .D.S. Palimkar, Existence Theory of second order random differential equation ,*Global Journal of Mathematical sciences Theory and Practical* ,Vol.4 ,No.4,2012,7-15.
- [11]. D. S. Palimkar, Existence theory of random differential equation, *Inter. Journ. of Sci.and Res. Pub.*, Vol.2, 7, (2012) , 1-6.