



# A Note on Completely Monotone Hyper Functions

Deepthi A.N.<sup>1</sup>, Mangalambal N.R<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(Department of Mathematics, K K T M Government College, Pullut, Thrissur, Kerala, India)

<sup>2</sup>(Centre for Research in Mathematical Sciences, St. Joseph's College,

Irinjalakuda, Thrissur, Kerala, India)

Corresponding Author: Deepthi A N

**ABSTRACT:** Hyperfunctions are the generalisation of the concept of functions by the Japanese Mathematician Mikio Sato. In this paper the idea of Completely Monotone Hyperfunction is introduced for real valued hyperfunctions. Some properties of completely Monotone Hyperfunctions are proved for a class of hyperfunctions with bounded exponential growth.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 32A45, 46F15, 58J15

**KEYWORDS:** Hyperfunctions, Completely monotone functions

Received 25 June, 2022; Revised 05 July, 2022; Accepted 07 July, 2022 © The author(s) 2022.

Published with open access at [www.questjournals.org](http://www.questjournals.org)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Mikio Sato introduced the idea of hyperfunctions [4], [5] to mention his generalization of the concept of functions. Urs Graf used Sato's idea which uses the classical complex function theory to generalize the notion of function of a real variable and applied various transforms like Laplace transform, Fourier transform, Hilbert transform, Mellin transform, Hankel transform to a class of hyperfunctions in his book [1]. A. H. Zemanian [2] introduces different transforms for generalized function for solving differential and partial differential equations occurring in solving physical problems in various engineering fields.

In this paper the idea of completely monotone hyperfunctions is defined for real valued hyperfunctions. Some properties of this were proved for a class of real valued hyperfunctions having bounded exponential growth.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

The upper and lower half-plane of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  are denoted by  $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \in$

$\mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}_- = \{z : \text{Im } z < 0\}$  respectively.

**Definition 1.1**[1]: "For an open interval  $I$  of the real line, the open subset  $N(I) \subset \mathbb{C}$  is called a complex neighborhood of  $I$ , if  $I$  is a closed subset of  $N(I)$ . Let  $N_+(I) = N(I) \cap \mathbb{C}_+$  and  $N_-(I) = N(I) \cap \mathbb{C}_-$ .

$\mathcal{O}(N(I) \setminus I)$  denotes the ring of holomorphic functions in  $N(I) \setminus I$ . For a given interval  $I$  a function  $F(z) \in$

$\mathcal{O}(N(I) \setminus I)$  can be written as

$F_+(z)$  for  $z \in N_+(I)$ ,

$F_-(z)$  for  $z \in N_-(I)$

where  $F_+(z) \in \mathcal{D}(N_+(I))$  and  $F_-(z) \in \mathcal{D}(N_-(I))$  are called upper and lower component

of  $F(z)$  respectively. In general the upper and lower component of  $F(z)$  need not be related to each other. If they are analytic continuations from each other we call  $F(z)$  a global analytic function on  $N(I)$  and we write

$F_+(z) = F_-(z) = F(z)$ .

**Definition 1.2[1]:** "Two functions  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  in  $\mathcal{D}(N(I) \setminus I)$  are equivalent if for  $z \in N_1(I) \cap N_2(I)$ ,  $G(z) = F(z) + \varphi(z)$ , with  $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{D}(N(I))$  where  $N_1(I)$  and  $N_2(I)$  are complex neighborhoods of  $I$  of  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  respectively."

**Definition 1.3[1]:** "An equivalence class of functions  $F(z) \in \mathcal{D}(N(I) \setminus I)$  defines a hyperfunction  $f(x)$  on  $I$ . Which is denoted by  $f(x) = [F(z)] = [F_+(z), F_-(z)]$ .  $F(z)$  is called defining or generating function of the hyperfunction. The set of all hyperfunctions defined on the interval  $I$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{B}(I)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{B}(I) = \mathcal{D}(N(I) \setminus I) \setminus \mathcal{D}(N(I))$ . A real analytic function  $\varphi(x)$  on  $I$  is defined by the fact that  $\varphi(x)$  can analytically be continued to a full neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  containing  $I$ . i.e. we then have  $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{U})$ . For any complex neighborhood  $N(I)$  containing  $\mathcal{U}$  we may then write  $\mathfrak{B}(I) = \mathcal{D}(N(I) \setminus I) \setminus \mathcal{A}(I)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}(I)$  is the ring of all real analytic functions on  $I$ . Thus a hyperfunction  $f(x) \in \mathfrak{B}(I)$  is determined by a defining function  $F(z)$  which is holomorphic in an adjacent neighborhood above and below the interval  $I$ , but is only determined up to a real analytic function on  $I$ . The value of a hyperfunction at a point  $x \in I$  is

$$f(x) = F(x + i0) - F(x - i0) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \{F_+(x + i\epsilon) - F_-(x - i\epsilon)\}$$

provided the limit exists."

**Example [1]:** "Dirac delta function at  $x = 0$  is represented in terms of hyperfunction as  $\delta(x) = [-\frac{1}{2\pi iz}]$ . Here the defining function is  $(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi iz}$ .  $F(z)$  is defined except at  $z = 0$ . At  $z = 0$ ,  $F(z)$  has an isolated singularity, which is a pole of order 1. For every real number  $x \neq 0$  the limit  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \{F_+(x + i\epsilon) - F_-(x - i\epsilon)\}$  exists and equal to 0."

**Definition 1.4[1]:** "A hyperfunction  $f(x)$  is called holomorphic at  $x = a$ , if the lower and upper component of the defining function can analytically be continued to a full (two-dimensional) neighborhood of the real point  $a$ . i.e. the upper/lower component can analytically be continued across  $a$  into the lower/upper half-plane."

**Definition 1.5[1]:** "Let  $f(x) = [F_+(z), F_-(z)]$  be a hyperfunction, holomorphic at both end points of the finite interval  $[a, b]$ , then the (definite) integral of  $f(x)$  over  $[a, b]$  is defined and denoted by

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_{\gamma_{a,b}^+} F_+(z) dz - \int_{\gamma_{a,b}^-} F_-(z) dz = - \oint_{(a,b)} F(z) dz$$

where the contour  $\gamma_{a,b}^+$  runs in  $N_+$  from  $a$  to  $b$  above the real axis, and the contour  $\gamma_{a,b}^-$  is in  $N_-$  from  $a$  to  $b$  below the real axis."

**Example [1]:** " $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) dx = - \oint_{2\pi iz}^{-1} dz = 1$ "

**Definition 1.6[1]:**“Let  $\Sigma_0$  be the largest open subset of the real line where the hyper-function  $f(x) = [F(z)]$  is vanishing. Its complement  $K_0 =$

$\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma_0$  is said to be the support of the hyperfunction  $f(x)$  denoted by  $\text{supp} f(x)$ . Let  $\Sigma_1$  be the largest open subset of the real line where the hyperfunction  $f(x) = [F(z)]$  is holomorphic. Its complement  $K_1 = \mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma_1$  is said to be the singular support of the hyperfunction  $f(x)$  denoted by  $\text{singsupp} f(x)$ .

To define hyperfunctions of bounded exponential growth, consider open sets  $J = (a, 0) \cup (0, b)$  with some  $a < 0$  and some  $b > 0$  and compact subsets  $K = [a', a''] \cup [b', b'']$  with  $a < a' \leq a'' < 0$  and  $0 < b' \leq b'' < b$ . Also consider the following open neighborhoods  $[-\delta, \infty) + iJ$  and  $(-\infty, \delta] + iJ$  of  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\mathbb{R}_-$  respectively for some  $\delta > 0$ .

Consider the subclass  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  of hyperfunctions  $f(x) = [F(z)]$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying

- (i) The support  $\text{supp} f(x)$  is contained in  $[0, \infty)$
- (ii) Either the support  $\text{supp} f(x)$  is bounded on the right by a finite number  $\beta > 0$  or we demand that among all equivalent defining functions, there is one,  $F(z)$  defined in  $[-\delta, \infty) + iJ$  such that for any compact set  $K \subset J$  there exists some real constant  $M' > 0$  and  $\sigma' > 0$  such that  $|F(z)| \leq M' e^{\sigma' \Re z}$  holds uniformly for all  $z \in [0, \infty) + iK$ .

Because  $\text{supp} f(x) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  and since the singular support  $\text{singsupp} f$  is a subset of the support, we have  $\text{singsupp} f \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ . Therefore  $f(x)$  is a holomorphic hyperfunction for all  $x < 0$ . Moreover, the fact that  $F_+(x + i0) - F_-(x - i0) = 0$  for all  $x < 0$  shows that  $F(z)$  is real analytic on the negative part of the real axis. Hence  $f(x) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  implies that  $\chi_{(-\epsilon, \infty)} f(x) = f(x)$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Definition 1.7[1]:**“We call the subclass of hyperfunctions  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  the class of *rightsided originals*. In the case of an unbounded support  $\text{supp} f(x)$ , let  $\sigma_- = \inf \sigma'$  be the greatest lower bound of all  $\sigma'$  where the infimum is taken over all  $\sigma'$  and all equivalent defining functions satisfying (ii). This number  $\sigma_- = \sigma_-(f)$  is called the growth index of  $f(x) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . It has the properties

- (i)  $\sigma_- \leq \sigma'$
- (ii) For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\sigma'$  with  $\sigma_- \leq \sigma' \leq \sigma_- + \epsilon$  and an equivalent defining function  $F(z)$  such that  $|F(z)| \leq M' e^{\sigma' \Re z}$  uniformly for all  $z \in [0, \infty) + iK$ .

In the case of a bounded support  $\text{supp} f(x)$ , we set  $\sigma_-(f) = -\infty$ .

**Definition 1.8[1]:**“The Laplace transform of a right-sided original  $f(x) = [F(z)] \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is now defined by  $\hat{f}(s) = \mathcal{L}[f](s) = - \int_{\infty^{(0+)}} e^{-sz} F(z) dz$ . The image function  $\hat{f}(s)$  of  $f(x) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is holomorphic in the right half-plane  $\Re s > \sigma_-(f)$

Similarly, we introduce the class  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_-)$  of hyperfunctions specified by

- (i) The support  $\text{supp} f(x)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{R}_- = (-\infty, 0]$
- (ii) Either the support  $\text{supp} f(x)$  is bounded on the left by a finite number  $\alpha < 0$ , or we demand that among all equivalent defining functions there is one, denoted by  $F(z)$  and defined in  $(-\infty, \delta] +$

$iJ$  such that for any compact subset  $K \subset J$  there are some real constants  $M'' > 0$  and  $\sigma''$  such that  $|F(z)| \leq M'' e^{\sigma'' \Re z}$  holds uniformly for  $z \in (-\infty, 0] + iK$ .

**Definition 1.9[1]:** “The set  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_-)$  is said to be the class of left-sided originals.

In the case of an unbounded support let  $\sigma_+ = \sup \sigma''$  be the least upper bound of all  $\sigma''$ , where the supremum is taken over all  $\sigma''$  and all equivalent defining functions satisfying (ii). The number  $\sigma_+ = \sigma_+(f)$  is called the growth index of  $f(x) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_-)$ . It has the properties

(i)  $\sigma'' \leq \sigma_+$

(ii) For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\sigma''$  such that  $\sigma_+ - \epsilon \leq \sigma'' \leq \sigma_+$  and a defining function  $F(z)$  such that  $|F(z)| \leq M'' e^{\sigma'' \Re z}$  uniformly for  $z \in (-\infty, 0] + iK$ .

If the support  $\text{supp } f(x)$  is bounded, we set  $\sigma_+(f) = +\infty$

**Definition 1.10[1]:** “The Laplace transform of a left-sided original  $f(x) = [F(z)] \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_-)$  is defined by  $\hat{f}(s) = \mathcal{L}[f](s) = -\int_{-\infty}^{(0+)} e^{-sz} F(z) dz$ . The image function  $\hat{f}(s)$  of  $f(x) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_-)$  is holomorphic in the left half-plane  $\Re s < \sigma_+(f)$ .

With a left-sided original  $g(x) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_-)$  with growth index  $\sigma_+(g)$  and a right-sided original  $f(x) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  with growth index  $\sigma_-(f)$  form the hyperfunction  $h(x) =$

$g(x) + h(x)$  whose support is now the entire real

axis. If  $\hat{g} = \mathcal{L}[g(t)](s), \Re s < \sigma_+(g)$  and  $\hat{f} = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s), \Re s > \sigma_-(f)$  we can add the two image functions provided they have a common strip of convergence, i.e.  $\sigma_-(f) < \sigma_+(g)$  holds.”

**Definition 1.11[1]:** “With  $g(x) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_-), f(x) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_+), h(x) = g(x) + f(x)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}[h(t)](s) = \widehat{g(x)}(s) + \widehat{f(x)}(s), \sigma_-(f) < \Re s < \sigma_+(g)$ , provided  $\sigma_-(f) < \sigma_+(g)$ .”

**Definition 1.12[1]:** “Hyperfunctions of the subclass  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  are said to be of bounded exponential growth as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and hyperfunctions of the subclass  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_-)$  are said to be of bounded exponential growth as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ .

An ordinary function  $f(x)$  is called of bounded exponential growth as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , if there are some real constants  $M' > 0$  and  $\sigma' > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq$

$M' e^{\sigma' x}$  for sufficiently large  $x$ . It is called of bounded exponential growth as  $x \rightarrow$

$-\infty$ , if there are some real constants  $M'' > 0$  and  $\sigma'' > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq$

$M'' e^{\sigma'' x}$ , for sufficiently negative large  $x$ . A function or a hyperfunction is of bounded exponential growth, if it is of bounded exponential growth for  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  as well as for  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus a hyperfunction or ordinary function  $f(x)$  has a Laplace transform, if it is of bounded exponential growth, and if  $\sigma_-(f) < \sigma_+(f)$ .”

**Definition 1.13[1]:** “For any given hyperfunction  $f(x) = [F_+(z), F_-(z)]$  its derivative in the sense of hyperfunction is defined and denoted as

$$Df(x) = f'(x) = \left[ \frac{dF_+}{dz}, \frac{dF_-}{dz} \right]$$

$$D^n f(x) = f^{(n)}(x) = \left[ \frac{d^n F_+}{dz^n}, \frac{d^n F_-}{dz^n} \right],$$

### III. COMPLETELY MONOTONE HYPERFUNCTIONS

**Definition 2.1:** A positive real valued hyperfunction  $f(x) = [F(Z)]$  defined on  $(0, \infty)$  is called a completely monotone hyperfunction if it satisfies  $(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x > 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

**Theorem 2.2:** A positive real valued hyperfunction  $f(x) = [F(Z)]$  defined on  $(0, \infty)$  is a completely monotone hyperfunction if there exists a positive real valued hyperfunction  $g(x) = [G(z)]$  on  $(0, \infty)$  with bounded exponential growth such that  $f(s) = \mathcal{L}[g(x)](s)$ , for all  $s > 0$ .

*Proof:*

Suppose there exists a positive real valued hyperfunction  $g(x) = [G(z)]$  on  $(0, \infty)$  with bounded exponential growth such that  $f(s) = \mathcal{L}[g(x)](s)$ , for all  $s > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } (-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) &= (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \mathcal{L}[g(x)](s) \\ &= \int_0^\infty x^n e^{-sx} g(x) dx \geq 0 \text{ for all } s > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f(x)$  is a completely monotone hyperfunction.

**Theorem 2.3:** Let  $f(x) = [F(x)]$  and  $g(x) = [G(x)]$  be two completely monotone hyperfunctions. Then  $f(x)g(x)$  is a completely monotone hyperfunction whenever the product is defined and  $f(s) = \mathcal{L}[h(x)](s)$  and  $g(s) = \mathcal{L}[j(x)](s)$ , where  $h(x) = [H(z)]$  and  $j(x) = [J(z)]$  are two hyperfunctions, where  $s > 0$ .

*Proof:*

Product of  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  is defined when  $\text{singsupp } f(x) \cap \text{singsupp } g(x) = \emptyset$

If  $s \notin \text{singsupp } f(x) \cap \text{singsupp } g(x)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} f(s)g(s) &= \mathcal{L}[h(x)](s) \mathcal{L}[j(x)](s) \\ &= \mathcal{L}[h(x) * j(x)](s) \end{aligned}$$

Then by theorem 2.2 the result follows

**Theorem 2.4:** Let  $f(x)$  be a completely monotone hyperfunction and  $g(x)$  be a positive real valued hyperfunction defined on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $g'(x)$  is a completely monotone hyperfunction, then  $f \circ g$  is also a completely monotone hyperfunction.

*Proof:*

We are going to prove the result using mathematical induction

Clearly  $(f \circ g)(x) \geq 0$  for  $x > 0$ .

$$(f \circ g)' = (f' \circ g)g' \leq 0 \text{ since } (-1)^k f^{(k)} \geq 0 \text{ and } g' \geq 0$$

Hence the result is true for  $k=1$ .

Suppose that the result is true for  $k = n$ . i.e.  $(-1)^k (f \circ g)^{(k)} \geq 0$  for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$

Since  $-f'$  and  $g'$  are completely monotone  $(-1)^{n+1} (f \circ g)^{(n+1)}$

$$= (-1)^n [((-f') \circ g)g']^{(n)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n nC_k ((-f') \circ g)^{(k)} (g')^{(n-k)} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n nC_k [(-1)]^k ((-f') \circ g)^k [(-1)^{n-k} (g')^{(n-k)}]
 \end{aligned}$$

$\geq 0$

Hence the result is true for  $k=n+1$  also.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Urs Graf, Introduction to Hyperfunctions and Their Integral Transforms, Birkhauser, 2010
- [2]. A.H.Zemanian, Generalized Integral Transformations, Dover Publications, Inc., New York.
- [3]. M.Sato, Theory of Hyperfunctions I, J.Fac.Sci.Univ.Tokyo, Sect.I, 8(1959), pp139-193
- [4]. M.Sato, Theory of Hyperfunctions II, J.Fac.Sci.Univ.Tokyo, Sect.I, 8(1960), pp387-437
- [5]. Mitsuo Morimoto, An Introduction to Sato's Hyperfunctions, American Mathematical Society, Volume 129
- [6]. K.Yosida, Operational Calculus: A theory of hyperfunctions, Springer
- [7]. Isao Imai, Applied Hyperfunction Theory, Springer, Vol.8, 1992
- [8]. A.Kaneko, Introduction to the theory of hyperfunctions, Springer, Vol.3, 1988
- [9]. V.S.Vladimirov (2002), Methods of the theory of generalized functions. Taylor and Francis. ISBN0-415-27356-0
- [10]. V. S. Vladimirov, (2001) [1994], "Generalized function", in Hazewinkel, Michiel (ed.), Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Springer Science, Business Media B.V. / Kluwer Academic Publishers, ISBN978-1-55608-010-4.